arXiv:1510.01606v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 6 Oct 2015

Conservative regularization of compressible flow

Govind S. Krishnaswami[1], Sonakshi Sachdev[1] and Anantanarayanan Thyagaraja[2]

[1]Chennai Mathematical Institute, SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri 603103, India

[2]Astrophysics Group, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TL, UK

Email: govind@cmi.ac.in, sonakshi@cmi.ac.in, athyagaraja@gmail.com

October 6, 2015.

Abstract

Ideal Eulerian flow may develop singularities in vorticity $\mathbf{w}$. Navier-Stokes viscosity $\nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v}$ provides a dissipative regularization. We find a local, conservative regularization $-\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})$ of compressible flow and compressible MHD: a three dimensional analogue of the KdV regularization of the one dimensional kinematic wave equation. The regulator λ is a field subject to the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho$ = constant. λ is like a position-dependent mean-free path. Our regularization preserves Galilean, parity and time-reversal symmetries. We identify locally conserved energy, helicity, linear and angular momenta and boundary conditions ensuring their global conservation. Enstrophy is shown to remain bounded. A swirl velocity field is identified, which transports $\mathbf{w}/\rho$ and $\mathbf{B}/\rho$ generalizing the Kelvin-Helmholtz and Alfvén theorems. A Hamiltonian and Poisson bracket formulation is given. The regularized equations are used to model a rotating vortex, channel flow, plane flow, a plane vortex sheet and propagating spherical and cylindrical vortices. Some wider issues and implications for systematic analyses of fluid and plasma dynamic systems arising from our work are briefly discussed.

Keywords: Conservative regularization, compressible flow, ideal MHD, Hamiltonian formulation, Poisson brackets

PACS: 47.10.Df, 47.10.ab, 52.30.Cv, 47.15.ki, 47.40.-x

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Formulation of regularized compressible flow **3**

3 Conservation laws for regularized compressible flow and MHD **6**

3.1 Boundary Conditions . . . 7

3.2 Direct proofs of the Conservation Laws . . . 7

3.3 Kelvin-Helmholtz Theorem for R-Euler and swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ . . . 8

3.4 Regularized MHD and its Conservation Laws . . . 9

4 Poisson brackets for the R-Euler equations **10**

4.1 Equations of motion from Hamiltonian and Poisson brackets . . . 12

4.2 Poisson brackets among locally conserved quantities and symmetry generators . . . 12

4.3 Other constitutive laws and regularizations . . . 13

5 Some solutions of regularized flow equations **14**

5.1 Compressible flow model for rotating vortex . . . 14

5.1.1 Steady state regularized equations in cylindrical geometry . . . 14

5.1.2 Vortex model with rigidly rotating fluid core . . . 15

5.1.3 Vortex with with isothermal flow . . . 15

5.2 Simple model for channel flow using regularized equations . . . 17

5.2.1 Isothermal channel flow . . . 17

5.2.2 Adiabatic channel flow . . . 18

5.3 Isothermal plane vortex sheet . . . 18

5.4 Regularized plane flow . . . 19

5.5 Incompressible 3-d axisymmetric vortex flow . . . 20

5.5.1 Spherical vortex . . . 21

5.5.2 Cylindrical vortex . . . 22

6 Discussion and Conclusions **23**

A Some properties of the Poisson brackets **25**

A.1 Poisson brackets of mass current and swirl velocity . . . 25

A.2 Poisson brackets of solenoidal and irrotational linear functionals . . . 26

A.3 Proof of Jacobi identity for three linear functionals of velocity and density . . . 26

A.3.1 Jacobi identity for 3 orthogonal test fields . . . 27

A.3.2 Jacobi identity for three test fields in the same direction . . . 28

A.3.3 Jacobi identity for two collinear test fields and one orthogonal to them . . . 28

A.4 Proof of Jacobi identity for non-linear functionals . . . 28

1 Introduction

This work continues and seeks to extend the program of "regularization" of three-dimensional, conservative, continuum dynamical systems exemplified by the classic, incompressible Euler equations of fluid dynamics and ideal, incompressible magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)[1, 2]. Well-known examples of one-dimensional models of conservative systems like the Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV) and the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) show that effective analysis and computation are greatly facilitated when the dynamics imply bounded motions rather than the development of singularities which prevent a proper understanding of the system dynamics and statistical mechanics. A standard example is provided by the so called "kinematic wave" equation ($u_t + uu_x = 0$) which has singular behaviour in a finite time for certain initial data. This equation admits a dissipative regularization in the well-known Burgers equation ($u_t + uu_x = \nu u_{xx}$), and thereby provides an excellent, exactly

soluble [via the Cole-Hopf transformation] model of random arrays of one-dimensional shocks, traffic flows and the like. A much deeper dispersive regularization is provided by the KdV equation ($u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0$) which has been extensively discussed as the paradigmatic, conservatively regularized extension of the KWE, with applications in many fields. It is this latter example that provides the motivation for the results presented in the works cited and in the present paper.

Three-dimensional fluid dynamics fundamentally involves "vortex stretching", a process which in the standard Euler equations leads to unbounded growth of the fluid enstrophy [enstrophy density is the square of local vorticity]. This is analogous to the development of the singularities and multi-valuedness of the derivatives of u in the KWE. Like the KWE, the Euler system has a standard, dissipative regularization in the Navier-Stokes equations [NS] on which almost all of modern fluid dynamics rests. However, until the appearance of [1] no local regularization of the incompressible Euler equations comparable with KdV or NLS satisfying essential Galilean, parity and time-reversal symmetries and valid for arbitrary initial data leading to bounded enstrophy and positive-definite conserved energy and admitting an adjoint variational principle was published[1].

The regularized incompressible Euler equations are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} - \lambda^2 \mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0. \tag{1}$$

λ is a constant with dimensions of length, which acts as a short-distance regulator. The purpose of the present paper is to show that there is a relatively 'natural' extension of the methods to compressible neutral fluid flow and to compressible, ideal MHD. A key ingredient in this extension is for λ to be generalized to a field satisfying the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho =$ constant.

We have applied the elegant Poisson structures [5] for compressible flow due to Morrison and Greene[6, 7, 8] anticipated in Landau's [9] quantum theory of superfluids [cf. Equations (1.7,1.8)] and developed by [10]. Although not essential to the theory of regularization, this formalism shows that the extended systems formally share the Hamiltonian, non-canonical Poisson structures of the original, singular conservative dynamics. Furthermore, we indicate several new and remarkable results and present some simple but representative applications of the regularized Euler (R-Euler) equations.

We begin in §2 by giving the equations of regularized compressible flow and their extension to compressible MHD (R-MHD). Criteria for the choice of regularization term and its physical interpretation are provided. Local conservation laws for 'swirl' energy, helicity, linear and angular momentum are derived in §3. Boundary conditions for the R-Euler equations are discussed in §3.1 followed by a regularized version of the Kelvin-Helmholtz theorem for the freezing of vorticity into the 'swirl' velocity field (§3.3). Local conservation laws for energy and magnetic helicity in R-MHD, boundary conditions and a generalization of Alfven's theorem may be found in §3.4. Poisson brackets are introduced in §4 and the R-Euler equations are shown to be Hamilton's equations for the swirl energy. Some properties of the Poisson brackets and a novel proof of the Jacobi identity are given in appendix A. The Poisson algebra of conserved quantities and symmetry generators is discussed in §4.2, paying special attention to boundary conditions. The Poisson bracket formulation is used in §4.3 to identify new regularization terms (involving new constitutive relations) that guarantee bounded higher moments of vorticity while retaining the symmetries of compressible Eulerian flow. §5 contains several applications to steady flows. The R-Euler equations are used to model a rotating vortex, channel flow, plane flow, a plane vortex sheet and propagating spherical and cylindrical vortices. These examples elucidate many interesting physical consequences of our conservative regularization. In §6 we conclude by placing our conservative regularization of ideal Euler flow and MHD in a wider physical context and discuss several open questions.

2 Formulation of regularized compressible flow

For compressible, barotropic flow with mass density ρ and velocity field $\mathbf{v}$, the continuity and Euler equations are

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\,\mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}. \tag{2}$$

The pressure p is related to ρ through a constitutive relation in barotropic flow. Let us introduce the stagnation pressure σ and the specific enthalpy h for adiabatic flow (or specific Gibbs free energy for isothermal flow) through the equation

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right)\frac{p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2 \equiv h + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2. \tag{3}$$

Then using the identity $\frac{1}{2}\nabla\mathbf{v}^2 = \mathbf{v}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{v}) + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\,\mathbf{v}$, the Euler equation may be written in terms of vorticity $\mathbf{w} = \nabla\times\mathbf{v}$;

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\sigma. \tag{4}$$

[1] There are other interesting conservative regularizations of the 3d Euler equations, motivated partly by numerical schemes or involving averaging procedures, such as the non-local Euler-α and vortex blob regularizations [3, 4].

In [1] a regularization term [twirl acceleration $-\lambda^2\mathbf{T}$] was introduced into the incompressible ($\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}=0$) Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\,\mathbf{v}=-\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}-\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})\quad\text{with}\quad\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}).\tag{5}$$

The twirl term is a singular perturbation, making R-Euler 2nd order in space derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$ while remaining 1st order in time. The regularizing vector may be written $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{w}\times\nabla(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v})-\mathbf{w}\times\nabla^2\mathbf{v}$. For incompressible flow it becomes $\mathbf{T}=-\mathbf{w}\times\nabla^2\mathbf{v}$. The parameter λ with dimensions of length is a constant for incompressible flow. We will see that λ acts as a short-distance regulator that prevents the enstrophy $\int\mathbf{w}^2\,d\mathbf{r}$ from diverging. Unlike a lattice or other cut-off R-Euler ensures bounded enstrophy while retaining locality and all the space-time symmetries and conservation laws of the Euler equation. The sign of $\mathbf{T}$ ensures that the conserved energy E^* (16) is positive definite. The twirl acceleration is clearly absent in irrotational or constant vorticity flows. Since $\mathbf{T}$ involves derivatives of $\mathbf{w}$, it kicks in when vorticity develops large gradients and thereby prevents unbounded growth of enstrophy. As discussed below, $\mathbf{T}$ is chosen to have as few spatial derivatives and non-linearities as possible. A linear term in $\mathbf{v}$ (as in KdV) preserving the symmetries of the Euler equation seems not to exist. The twirl term $-\lambda^2\mathbf{T}$ is a conservative analogue of the viscous dissipation term $\nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v}$ in the incompressible NS equation

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}=-\frac{\nabla p}{\rho}+\nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v},\quad\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}=0.\tag{6}$$

Kinematic viscosity ν and the regulator λ play similar roles. It is interesting to compare incompressible Euler, R-Euler and NS under rescaling of coordinates $\mathbf{r}=L\mathbf{r}'$ and velocities $\mathbf{v}=U\mathbf{v}'$ ($t=(L/U)t'$). The incompressible Euler equations for vorticity

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial t}+\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v})=0\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla\times\mathbf{v}=0,\tag{7}$$

are invariant under such rescalings. The NS equation is *not* invariant under independent rescalings of $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ unless $LU=1$:

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}'}{\partial t'}+\nabla'\times(\mathbf{w}'\times\mathbf{v}')=\left(\frac{\nu}{LU}\right)\nabla'^2\mathbf{w}'.\tag{8}$$

As is well-known, flows with the same Reynolds number $\mathcal{R}=\frac{LU}{\nu}$ are similar. Interestingly, the R-Euler equation $\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial t}+\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v})=-\lambda^2\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}))$ is invariant under rescaling of time alone: $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}', t=t'/U, \mathbf{v}=U\mathbf{v}'$ but not under independent rescalings of time and space. With both viscous and twirl regularizations present, under the rescaling $\mathbf{r}=L\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{v}=U\mathbf{v}'$, we get

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}'}{\partial t'}+\nabla'\times(\mathbf{w}'\times\mathbf{v}')=\frac{\nu}{LU}\nabla'^2\mathbf{w}'-\frac{\lambda^2}{L^2}\nabla'\times(\mathbf{w}'\times(\nabla'\times\mathbf{w}')).\tag{9}$$

So the twirl term should dominate the viscous term when $\mathcal{R}$ is large but λ/L is not small. Since $\mathbf{T}$ is quadratic in $\mathbf{w}$ (or $\mathbf{v}$), it should be important in high-vorticity or high-speed flows.

Thus it is natural to seek a generalization of the twirl regularization to compressible flows. Consider adiabatic flow of an ideal compressible fluid whose pressure and density are related by $(p/p_0)=(\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma$. The *compressible* R-Euler equation is

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\,\mathbf{v}=-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\nabla\left(\frac{p}{\rho}\right)-\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}).\tag{10}$$

For compressible flows we find that $\lambda(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$ must satisfy a constitutive relation taking the form,

$$\lambda^2\rho=\text{constant}=\lambda_0^2\rho_0,\tag{11}$$

to ensure that a positive-definite conserved energy exists for an arbitrary flow [more general constitutive relations are possible, see §4.3]. The constant $\lambda_0^2\rho_0$ depends on the fluid and not the specific flow. As before, we write the R-Euler equation as

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t}+\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}=-\nabla\sigma-\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}).\tag{12}$$

Here $\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}$ is the 'vorticity acceleration' and $-\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})$ is the twirl acceleration while $\nabla\sigma$ includes acceleration due to pressure gradients. The regularization term increases the spatial order of the Euler equation by one [since $\mathbf{w}=\nabla\times\mathbf{v}$], just as $\nu\nabla^2\mathbf{v}$ increases it by one in going from Euler to NS. However the boundary conditions required by the above conservative regularization involve the first spatial derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$, unlike the no-slip condition of NS. Furthermore the regularizing viscous stress in NS is linear in $\mathbf{v}$ as opposed to the quadratically non-linear twirl stress. The twirl term involves three derivatives and should be important at high wave numbers, as is the u_{xxx} term in KdV. The R-Euler equation is invariant under parity (all terms reverse sign) and under time-reversal (all terms retain their signs). It is well-known that NS is not invariant under time-reversal,

since it includes viscous dissipation. Moreover, we shall see that R-Euler possesses local conservation laws for energy, flow helicity, linear and angular momenta, in common with the Euler system.

The R-Euler equation takes a compact form in terms of the swirl velocity field $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v} + \lambda^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{w}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}_* = -\nabla \sigma. \tag{13}$$

$\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}_*$ is a regularized version of the Eulerian vorticity acceleration $\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}$. The swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ plays an important role in the regularized theory, as will be demonstrated. In fact, the continuity equation can be written in terms of $\mathbf{v}_*$:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_*) = 0. \tag{14}$$

This is a consequence of the constitutive relation (11) which implies $\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}_*) = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} + \lambda^2 \rho (\nabla \times \mathbf{w})) = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v})$. Taking the curl of the R-Euler momentum balance equation we get the R-vorticity equation:

$$\mathbf{w}_t + \nabla \times (\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}) = -\nabla \times \Big(\lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w})\Big) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \mathbf{w}_t + \nabla \times (\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}_*) = 0. \tag{15}$$

The incompressible regularized evolution equations possess a positive definite integral invariant [with suitable boundary data]:

$$\frac{dE^*}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_V \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \rho \mathbf{w}^2\right] d^3 r\right) = 0. \tag{16}$$

For compressible flow, E^* is *not* conserved if λ is a constant length. On the other hand, we do find a conserved energy if we include compressional potential energy and also let the field $\lambda(\mathbf{r}, t)$ be a dynamical length governed by the constitutive relation $\lambda^2 \rho =$ constant (11). As a consequence, λ is not an independent propagating field like $\mathbf{v}$ or ρ, its evolution is determined by that of ρ. Here λ_0 is some constant short-distance cut-off (e.g. a mean-free path at mean density) and ρ_0 is a constant mass density (e.g. the mean density). λ is smaller where the fluid is denser and larger where it is rarer. This is reasonable if we think of λ as a position-dependent mean-free-path. However, it is only the combination $\lambda_0^2 \rho_0$ that appears in the equations. So compressible R-Euler involves only one new dimensional parameter, say λ_0. A dimensionless measure of the cutoff $n\lambda^3 = \lambda_0^3 n_0^{3/2} n^{-1/2}$ may be obtained by introducing the number density $n = \rho/m$ where m is the molecular mass. It is clearly smaller in denser regions and larger in rarified regions. The conservation of E^* implies an a priori bound on enstrophy; no such bound is available for Eulerian flows, where enstrophy could diverge due to vortex stretching. Note that boundedness of enstrophy under R-Euler evolution may still permit $\mathbf{w}$ to develop discontinuities or mild divergences for certain initial conditions.

KdV and R-Euler are conservative regularizations in one and three dimensions. The dimensional reduction of R-Euler provides a possible regularization of ideal flows in 2 dimensions. However, for incompressible 2d flow, the twirl term becomes a gradient and does not affect the evolution of vorticity (see §5.4). This is to be expected as *incompressible* 2d Euler flows do not require regularization: there is no vortex stretching, enstrophy and all moments of $\mathbf{w}^2$ are conserved. On the other hand, the twirl term leads to a new and non-trivial regularization of compressible flow in 2d (see §5.4).

We briefly consider other possible regularization terms and criteria for the choices. Recall that under time-reversal $T : t \to -t$, $\mathbf{r} \to \mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{v} \to -\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w} \to -\mathbf{w}$; while under parity $P : t \to t$, $\mathbf{r} \to -\mathbf{r}$, $\mathbf{v} \to -\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{w}$. The Euler equation $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p$ is invariant under both T and P. We seek local regularization term(s) that may be added to the Euler equation that preserve these properties, in addition to Galilean invariance. Any such additional term must be even under T, odd under P, not involve either $\mathbf{r}$ or t explicitly, and transform as a (polar) vector under rotations. Furthermore, we seek terms with as few derivatives, as low a degree of non-linearity as possible and involving a dimensional constant to play the role of a short-distance cut-off λ. We identified the following quadratically non-linear terms involving second derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$ satisfying the above properties (there does not seem to be any such term linear in $\mathbf{v}$):

$$\text{(A)}\ \ \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}), \quad \text{(B)}\ \ \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}), \quad \text{(C)}\ \ \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}), \quad \text{(D)}\ \ \lambda^2 (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}, \quad \text{(E)}\ \ \lambda^2 \nabla \mathbf{w}^2. \tag{17}$$

(A) is the twirl term, it is a linear combination of (B) and (C) or (D) and (E), so they aren't all independent:

$$\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} \times \nabla(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{w} \times \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \mathbf{w}^2 - (\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}. \tag{18}$$

It would be interesting to see whether a regularization term of the form (B), (C), (D) or (E) can be used in place of $\mathbf{T}$. It is not clear if they lead to a regularized system with bounded enstrophy and locally conserved energy, helicity, angular and linear momentum, as well as other desirable deeper structural features like a hamiltonian formulation that we establish for the regularization via the twirl acceleration. More non-linear regularization terms that satisfy all these properties are given in §4.3.

In the light of possible astrophysical applications, we briefly note two important generalisations of the R-Euler system. Suppose a conservative body force $\mathbf{F} = -\rho\nabla V$ is operative, where the potential V arises for instance from gravity. Then (12) has the additional term $-\nabla V$, signifying acceleration due to the body force. Evidently, we may now set,

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\right)\frac{p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2 + V \equiv h + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2 \tag{19}$$

where the new enthalpy includes a contribution from potential energy: $h = \frac{\gamma}{(\gamma-1)}\frac{p}{\rho} + V$. The conservation laws of the next Section generalize upon including the potential energy of the body force.

A much less trivial extension will also be briefly indicated: in compressible ideal MHD the body force is the $\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B}$ magnetic Lorentz force, which has to be related to the fluid motion through Maxwell's equations for a quasi-neutral, compressible, ideal fluid. The governing equations for mass density ρ, magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ and velocity $\mathbf{v}$ take the following forms:

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \frac{\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B}}{\rho} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}). \tag{20}$$

The electric body force cancels out when one adds the momentum equations for electrons and ions. Thus one arrives at the above momentum equation for the center of mass velocity $\mathbf{v}$ of the electrons and ions in the quasi-neutral plasma treated as a single fluid. In non-relativistic plasmas, the displacement current term in Ampere's law can be neglected, allowing us to express the electric current as the curl of the magnetic field: $\mu_0\mathbf{j} = \nabla\times\mathbf{B}$. In particular, $\mathbf{j}$ is not an independent dynamical variable, its evolution is determined by that of $\mathbf{B}$. So the magnetic body force may be written as $\frac{1}{\rho\mu_0}(\nabla\times\mathbf{B})\times\mathbf{B}$. In MHD, the constitutive equation relating the electric and magnetic fields to the fluid motion is the Ideal Ohm's law: $\mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}) = 0$, which leads to the above expression for Faraday's law.

The regularized compressible MHD (R-MHD) equations follow from arguments similar to those presented for neutral compressible flows. The continuity equation, $\rho_t + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) = 0$ is unchanged. As noted, it may be written in terms of swirl velocity: $\rho_t + \nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}_*) = 0$. As in regularized fluid theory, we introduce the twirl acceleration on the RHS of the momentum equation, where λ is again subject to (11)

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \frac{\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B}}{\rho} - \lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}). \tag{21}$$

The equation can be written in terms of $\mathbf{v}_*$ by replacing $\mathbf{v}$ with $\mathbf{v}_*$ in the vortex acceleration term of the unregularized equation:

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}_* = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{j}\times\mathbf{B}}{\rho}. \tag{22}$$

Similarly, the regularized Faraday law in R-MHD is obtained by replacing $\mathbf{v}$ by $\mathbf{v}_*$, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}_*\times\mathbf{B}). \tag{23}$$

The regularized Faraday equation is 3^{rd} order in space derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$ and first order in $\mathbf{B}$. It turns out that compressible R-MHD possesses conservation laws similar to those deduced in [1] for incompressible R-MHD, see §3.4. One can readily include conservative body forces like gravity into R-MHD. The full treatment of R-MHD and its extension to the two-fluid plasma system will be presented in a future work.

3 Conservation laws for regularized compressible flow and MHD

Swirl Energy Conservation: Under compressible R-Euler evolution, the "swirl" energy density and flux vector

$$\mathcal{E}^* = \left[\frac{\rho\mathbf{v}^2}{2} + U(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2\rho\mathbf{w}^2}{2}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{f} = \rho\sigma\mathbf{v} + \lambda^2\rho(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v})\times\mathbf{w} + \lambda^4\rho\,\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{w} \tag{24}$$

satisfy the local conservation law $\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}^*}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{f} = 0$. Here $U(\rho) = p/(\gamma-1)$ is the compressional potential energy for adiabatic flow. Given suitable boundary conditions [BCs, discussed below], the system obeys a global energy conservation law:

$$\frac{dE^*}{dt} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad E^* = \int\left[\frac{\rho\mathbf{v}^2}{2} + U(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2\rho\mathbf{w}^2}{2}\right]d\mathbf{r}. \tag{25}$$

Flow Helicity Conservation: The R-Euler equations possess a local conservation law for helicity density $\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}$ and its flux $\mathbf{f}_h$:

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}) + \nabla\cdot\Big(\sigma\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) + \lambda^2\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{v}\Big) = 0. \tag{26}$$

This local conservation law implies global conservation of helicity $h = \int \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}\, d\mathbf{r}$, provided $\mathbf{f}_h\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ on the boundary ∂V of the flow domain V. Here $\hat{n}$ is the unit normal vector on the surface ∂V.

Momentum Conservation: Flow momentum is $\mathbf{P} = \int \rho\mathbf{v}\, d\mathbf{r}$. Momentum density $\mathcal{P}_i = \rho v_i$ and the stress tensor Π_{ij} satisfy

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{P}_i}{\partial t} + \partial_j\Pi_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \Pi_{ij} = \Pi_{ji} = \rho v_i v_j + p\delta_{ij} + \rho\lambda^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^2\delta_{ij} - w_i w_j\right). \tag{27}$$

For $\mathbf{P}$ to be globally conserved, we expect to need a translation-invariant flow domain V. If $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, decaying boundary conditions ($\mathbf{v}\to 0$) ensure $d\mathbf{P}/dt = 0$. Periodic BCs in a cuboid also ensure global conservation of $\mathbf{P}$.

Angular Momentum Conservation: For regularized compressible flow, we define the angular momentum density by $\vec{\mathcal{L}} = \rho\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{v}$. We find that the angular momentum satisfies the local conservation law:

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_i}{\partial t} + \partial_l\Lambda_{il} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \Lambda_{il} = \epsilon_{ijk}r_j\Pi_{kl}. \tag{28}$$

Λ_{il} is the angular momentum flux tensor. For $\mathbf{L} = \int\vec{\mathcal{L}}\, d^3r$ to be globally conserved, the system must be rotationally invariant. For instance, decaying BC in an infinite domain would guarantee conservation of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$. We also note that in symmetric domains [axisymmetric torus or circular cylinder] corresponding components of angular momenta or momenta associated with the symmetry may also be conserved. The situation here is similar to typical Eulerian systems.

3.1 Boundary Conditions

In the flow domain $\mathbb{R}^3$, it is natural to impose decaying BCs ($\mathbf{v}\to 0$ and $\rho\to$ constant as $|\mathbf{r}|\to\infty$) to ensure that total energy E^* is finite and conserved. For flow in a cuboid, periodic BCs ensure finiteness and conservation of energy. For flow in a bounded domain V, demanding global conservation of energy leads to another natural set of BCs. Now $dE^*/dt = -\int_{\partial V}\mathbf{f}\cdot\hat{n}\, dS$ where $\mathbf{f}$ is the energy current (24) and ∂V the boundary surface. $\mathbf{f}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ if the following conditions hold:

$$\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}\times\hat{n} = 0. \tag{29}$$

These BCs are, for instance, satisfied at the top and bottom of a bucket of rigidly rotating fluid. Since the R-Euler equation is 2^{nd} order in spatial derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$, it is consistent to impose conditions on both $\mathbf{v}$ and its 1^{st} derivatives. These boundary conditions imply that the twirl acceleration is tangential to the boundary surface $\mathbf{T}\cdot\hat{n} = (\mathbf{w}\times\lambda^2(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}))\cdot\hat{n} = (\hat{n}\times\mathbf{w})\cdot(\lambda^2\nabla\times\mathbf{w}) = 0$. It is interesting to note that the BCs ensuring helicity conservation (see §3.2) are 'orthogonal' to those for energy conservation

$$\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{f}_h\cdot\hat{n} = 0. \tag{30}$$

So helicity and energy cannot both be globally conserved simultaneously with these BCs [in bounded domains]. However, periodic or decaying BC would ensure simultaneous conservation of both. Similarly, neither angular momentum nor linear momentum is conserved in a finite flow domain with the BCs that ensure energy conservation. However, with sufficiently rapidly decaying BCs, energy, momentum, angular momentum and helicity can all be conserved simultaneously.

3.2 Direct proofs of the Conservation Laws

We derive the stated conservation relations for R-Euler flows from the equations of motion and the imposed BC's. Later these conservation laws will also be obtained using Poisson brackets.

Swirl energy conservation: To prove the local conservation law for $\mathcal{E}^*$ (24) we begin by computing the time derivative of each term in the energy density.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{v}^2\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \rho\mathbf{v}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) - \rho\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\sigma - \lambda^2\rho\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{T},\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{p}{\gamma-1}\right) &= \frac{p_o}{\gamma-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_o}\right)^\gamma = -\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{p}{\rho}\right)\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}),\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho\lambda^2\mathbf{w}^2\right) &= \rho_0\lambda_0^2\mathbf{w}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \rho\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\cdot\Big[\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) - \nabla\times(\lambda^2\mathbf{T})\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}^*}{\partial t} = -\sigma\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) - \rho\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\sigma - \rho\lambda^2\left[\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w})\right] - \rho\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\times(\lambda^2\mathbf{T}). \tag{32}$$

Since λ is a free parameter, the coefficient of each power of λ must be shown to be a divergence. This follows from straightforward but somewhat lengthy algebra [which we omit for brevity] that this is indeed the case, leading to a local conservation equation $d\mathcal{E}^*/dt + \nabla\cdot\mathbf{f} = 0$ with the energy flux vector $\mathbf{f}$ given in (24). It should be noted that this local conservation law crucially depends on the constitutive relation (11). The conservation of $E^* = \int \mathcal{E}^*\, d\mathbf{r}$ follows from Gauss' divergence theorem and our choice of boundary conditions ($\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ and $\mathbf{w}\times\hat{n} = 0$), which follow from writing

$$\mathbf{f}\cdot\hat{n} = \rho\sigma\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{n} + \lambda^2\rho(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v})\cdot(\mathbf{w}\times\hat{n}) + \lambda^4\rho\mathbf{T}\cdot(\mathbf{w}\times\hat{n}). \tag{33}$$

Flow helicity conservation: To obtain the local conservation law for $\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}$, we use the regularized equations (12,15) to write

$$\mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{v}_t = -\mathbf{w}\cdot(\nabla\sigma - \lambda^2\mathbf{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}_t = \mathbf{v}\cdot(\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) - \nabla\times(\lambda^2\mathbf{T})). \tag{34}$$

Now $\mathbf{v}\cdot(\nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w})) = -\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}))$ since $(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w})\cdot\mathbf{w} = 0$. Similarly, $\mathbf{v}\cdot(\nabla\times(\lambda^2\mathbf{T})) = \nabla\cdot(\lambda^2\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{v})$ since $\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{w} = 0$. Combining these two, the time derivative of flow helicity density is a divergence $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f}_h$,

$$\partial_t(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}\cdot\mathbf{v}_t + \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}_t = -\mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\sigma - \nabla\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w})) - \nabla\cdot(\lambda^2\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{v}) = \nabla\cdot(\sigma\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) + \lambda^2\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{v}), \tag{35}$$

as $\mathbf{w}$ is solenoidal. Writing

$$\mathbf{f}_h\cdot\hat{n} = \sigma\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} + (\hat{n}\times\mathbf{v})\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) + \lambda^2\mathbf{T}\cdot(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n}), \tag{36}$$

we infer BCs $\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0$ that ensure global helicity conservation [decaying BCs would of course also work].

Linear and angular momentum conservation: The proof of local conservation of momentum density $\rho\mathbf{v}$ uses the continuity and R-Euler equations:

$$\rho\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} = -\rho v_j\partial_j v_i - \partial_i p - \rho\lambda^2 T_i \quad \text{and} \quad v_i\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = -v_i\partial_j(\rho v_j). \tag{37}$$

By the constitutive relation, $\lambda^2\rho$ is a constant, so

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_i}{\partial t} = -\partial_j(\rho v_i v_j) - \partial_i p - \rho\lambda^2 T_i = -\partial_j\left[\rho v_i v_j + p\delta_{ij} + \rho\lambda^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}^2\delta_{ij} - w_i w_j\right)\right] \equiv -\partial_j\Pi_{ij}. \tag{38}$$

Thus, we have local conservation of momentum $d\mathcal{P}_i/dt + \partial_j\Pi_{ij} = 0$. The time derivative of angular momentum density $\vec{\mathcal{L}} = \rho\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{v}$ is calculated using the local conservation law for momentum density and the symmetry of Π_{ij}:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_i}{\partial t} = \epsilon_{ijk}r_j\frac{\partial(\rho v_k)}{\partial t} = -\epsilon_{ijk}r_j\partial_l\Pi_{kl} = -\partial_l\left(\epsilon_{ijk}r_j\Pi_{kl}\right) = -\partial_l\Lambda_{il}. \tag{39}$$

So angular momentum satisfies $\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_i}{\partial t} + \partial_l\Lambda_{il} = 0$ where Λ_{il} is the angular momentum flux tensor (28).

3.3 Kelvin-Helmholtz Theorem for R-Euler and swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$

For incompressible ideal flow, it is well known that vorticity is frozen into the velocity field: $\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w} = 0$. Here $\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}$ is the Lie derivative of $\mathbf{w}$ along $\mathbf{v}$, which is also the commutator of vector fields $[\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w}]$. Kelvin's and Helmholtz's theorems on vorticity follow from the freezing of $\mathbf{w}$ into $\mathbf{v}$. This result has an extension to the compressible, regularized theory. We show that $\mathbf{w}/\rho$ is frozen into the swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v} + \lambda^2\nabla\times\mathbf{w}$ (14). The R-vorticity equation (15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial t} + \nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}_*) = 0 \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial\{(\mathbf{w}/\rho)\rho\}}{\partial t} + \nabla\times\left(\rho\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\times\mathbf{v}_*\right) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad \rho\frac{\partial(\mathbf{w}/\rho)}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w}(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_*) - \mathbf{v}_*(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla)(\rho(\mathbf{w}/\rho)) - (\rho(\mathbf{w}/\rho)\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}_* &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We use the continuity equation to write $\rho_t = -\rho\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_* - \mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla\rho$. The last term is one that appears in the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}_*}(\mathbf{w}/\rho)$ and the penultimate term also contributes to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}_*}(\mathbf{w}/\rho)$ upon using the Leibnitz rule. Thus

$$\rho\frac{\partial(\mathbf{w}/\rho)}{\partial t} - \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla)\rho - \mathbf{w}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_* + \mathbf{w}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}_* + \rho\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla)\rho - \left[\rho\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\right)\cdot\nabla\right]\mathbf{v}_* = 0. \tag{41}$$

So dividing by ρ we obtain the freezing-in of $\mathbf{w}/\rho$ into $\mathbf{v}_*$

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{w}/\rho)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_* \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{w}/\rho) - ((\mathbf{w}/\rho) \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}_* = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial(\mathbf{w}/\rho)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}_*}(\mathbf{w}/\rho) = 0. \tag{42}$$

Indeed, it is well-known in Eulerian compressible, barotropic flow [$\lambda \to 0$] that $\mathbf{w}/\rho$ is frozen into $\mathbf{v}$.

Swirl energy in terms of swirl velocity: It is useful to note that the conserved swirl energy E^* can be expressed compactly in terms of the swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_*$ (upto a boundary term which is zero for appropriate BC):

$$E^* = \int \left[\frac{\rho \mathbf{v}^2}{2} + U(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho \mathbf{w}^2}{2}\right] d\mathbf{r} \;=\; \int_V \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho(x)\mathbf{v}_*(x) \cdot \mathbf{v}(x) + U(\rho)\right) d\mathbf{r} \equiv E^*_{\mathbf{v}_*}. \tag{43}$$

So up to a boundary term $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}_*$ accounts for both the kinetic and enstrophic energies. To see this, we begin by substituting for $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v} + \lambda^2 \nabla \times \mathbf{w}$ in $E^*_{\mathbf{v}_*}$ and use the divergence of a cross product to get

$$\begin{aligned} E^*_{\mathbf{v}_*} &= \int_V \left(\frac{\rho \mathbf{v}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho}{2}(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{v} + U(\rho)\right) d\mathbf{r} \;=\; \int_V \left(\frac{\rho \mathbf{v}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho}{2}\mathbf{w}^2 + U(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho}{2}\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v})\right) d\mathbf{r} \\ &= \int_V \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \rho \mathbf{w}^2 + U(\rho)\right) d\mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \rho \int_{\partial V} (\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot \hat{n}\, dS. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The boundary term vanishes if $\mathbf{v} \times \hat{n} = 0$ or $\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n} = 0$. Recall that the BC for E^* conservation includes $\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n} = 0$ and that for h includes $\mathbf{v} \times \hat{n} = 0$. So it is possible to express E^* in terms of $\mathbf{v}_*$ with the BCs that lead to either E^* or h conservation!

Time evolution of $\mathbf{v}_*$: Finally we give the evolution equation for $\mathbf{v}_*$, it is 4^{th} order in space derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_*}{\partial t} + \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v} = -\nabla\sigma - \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) + \frac{\lambda^2}{\rho}\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) \nabla \times \mathbf{w} - \lambda^2 \nabla \times (\nabla \times (\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v})) - \lambda^2 \nabla \times \left(\lambda^2 \nabla \times (\mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}))\right). \tag{45}$$

3.4 Regularized MHD and its Conservation Laws

Swirl energy conservation: In R-MHD, we obtain the following local energy transport equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}^*_{\text{mhd}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\text{mhd}} &= 0 \;\text{ where }\; \mathcal{E}^*_{\text{mhd}} = \left[\frac{\rho(\mathrm{x})\mathbf{v}^2(\mathrm{x})}{2} + \mathrm{U}(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho \mathbf{w}^2(\mathrm{x})}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{B}^2(\mathrm{x})}{2\mu_0}\right] \\ \text{and}\quad \mathbf{f}_{\text{mhd}} &= \left(\rho\sigma\mathbf{v} + \lambda^2 \rho(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w} + \lambda^4 \rho \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{w}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu_0}\left[-(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} + \lambda^2 \left\{\mathbf{w} \times ((\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}) + \mathbf{B} \times ((\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \times \mathbf{B})\right\}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

is the energy flux vector and $E^*_{\text{mhd}} = \int_V \mathcal{E}^*_{\text{mhd}}\, d^3r$ is the the total 'swirl' energy of isentropic compressible R-MHD. Boundary conditions on the surface ∂V of the flow domain V that ensure global conservation of E^*_{mhd} are

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{n} = 0, \quad \mathbf{w} \times \hat{n} = 0, \quad (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \hat{n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n} = 0. \tag{47}$$

The R-MHD equations of motion (22,23) are 3^{rd} order in $\mathbf{v}$ and 1^{st} order in $\mathbf{B}$. So we may impose BCs on $\mathbf{B}$, $\mathbf{v}$, the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} derivatives of $\mathbf{v}$. It also follows from (47) that $\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}_* \cdot \hat{n} = 0$ on the boundary. These BCs follow from writing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_{\text{mhd}} \cdot \hat{n} &= \rho\sigma\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{n} + \lambda^2 \rho(\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n}) + \lambda^4 \rho \mathbf{T} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n}) + \frac{1}{\mu_0}\left[\mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{n}) - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B})\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu_0}\lambda^2 \left\{(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{B})(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \hat{n} - ((\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{w})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n}) + \mathbf{B}^2(\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \hat{n} - ((\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n})\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Magnetic helicity conservation: We define magnetic helicity as $K = \int_V \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$. This is the magnetic analogue of flow helicity $h = \int_V \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ where we make the replacements $\mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{B}$. Despite appearances, K is gauge-invariant for decaying boundary conditions or if $\mathbf{B}$ is tangential to boundary of the flow region. For, under a gauge transformation $\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A} + \nabla\theta$,

$$K \to K + \int_V \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla\theta d^3r = K + \int_V \nabla \cdot (\theta \mathbf{B})\, d^3r = K + \int_{\partial V} \theta \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n}\, dS. \tag{48}$$

Magnetic helicity density is locally conserved. One may choose a gauge in which,

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times (\mathbf{v}_* \times \mathbf{B})) = 0. \tag{49}$$

$\mathbf{A}\times(\mathbf{v}_*\times\mathbf{B})$ is the flux of magnetic helicity. Global conservation of K requires the flux of magnetic helicty across the boundary surface to be zero. This is guaranteed by the conditions $\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{n}=0$, $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n}=0$ and $(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})\cdot\hat{n}=0$. This is because

$$(\mathbf{A}\times(\mathbf{v}_*\times\mathbf{B}))\cdot\hat{n}=(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\hat{n})(\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B})-(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{n})=(\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{B})\Big(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{n}+\lambda^2(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})\cdot\hat{n}\Big)-(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\mathbf{A})(\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{n}). \tag{50}$$

Note that for conservation of K it suffices that both $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{v}_*$ be tangential to ∂V. The BC $\mathbf{B}\cdot\hat{n}=0$ also guarantees gauge-invariance of K. Moreover, unlike for flow helicity, the BCs that guarantee E^* conservation also ensure conservation of K (though not vice versa). In an infinite domain energy and magnetic helicity are conserved if $\mathbf{v},\mathbf{B}\to 0$ and $\rho\to$ constant as $\mathbf{r}\to\infty$. For a finite flow domain, we may also impose periodic BC for energy and magnetic helicity conservation.

Alfvén's Theorem: It follows from the R-MHD equations (22,23) that $\mathbf{B}/\rho$ is frozen into the swirl velocity field $\mathbf{v}_*$:

$$\frac{\partial(\mathbf{B}/\rho)}{\partial t}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}_*}\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\rho}=\frac{\partial(\mathbf{B}/\rho)}{\partial t}+(\mathbf{v}_*\cdot\nabla)\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\rho}-\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\rho}\cdot\nabla\right)\mathbf{v}_*=0. \tag{51}$$

In order to express the laws governing momentum and angular momentum transport in R-MHD, it is necessary to consider the exchange of these quantities with the electromagnetic fields which drive the transport. This is most efficiently done after describing the Hamiltonian structure and the Poisson algebras which underlie the dynamics. This is postponed to a future paper where proofs of the above R-MHD conservation laws and Alfven's theorem will also be provided. It is worth noticing that in the case of MHD, the mechanical angular momentum is not separately conserved. In fact, it is canonical angular momentum which must be considered, and this latter quantity is most simply expressed in a Hamiltonian framework.

4 Poisson brackets for the R-Euler equations

Commutation relations among 'quantized' fluid variables were proposed by Landau [9] in an attempt at a quantum theory of superfluid He-II. As a byproduct, one obtains Poisson brackets (PB) among *classical* fluid variables allowing a Hamiltonian formulation for compressible flow. Suppose F and G are two functionals of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$, then their equal-time PB (see [6, 7]) is

$$\{F,G\}=\int\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\cdot\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\times\frac{\delta G}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)-\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\cdot\nabla\frac{\delta G}{\delta\rho}+\frac{\delta G}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\cdot\nabla\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}\right]d\mathbf{r}=\int\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\cdot\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\times\frac{\delta G}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)+\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)\frac{\delta G}{\delta\rho}-\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}\right]d\mathbf{r}. \tag{52}$$

The two formulae are related by integration by parts. If ρ and mass current $\mathbf{M}=\rho\mathbf{v}$ are taken as the basic variables, then

$$\{F,G\}=-\int\left[\rho\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta M_j}\partial_j\frac{\delta G}{\delta\rho}-\frac{\delta G}{\delta M_j}\partial_j\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}\right)+M_i\left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta M_j}\partial_j\frac{\delta G}{\delta M_i}-\frac{\delta G}{\delta M_j}\partial_j\frac{\delta F}{\delta M_i}\right)\right]d\mathbf{r}. \tag{53}$$

We will show that this PB, along with our conserved swirl energy hamiltonian E^* lead to the R-Euler equations. The PB is manifestly anti-symmetric and the dimension of $\{F,G\}$ is that of $FG/\hbar$. The PB of $F[\rho,\mathbf{v}]$ with a constant (independent of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$) is zero. The Leibnitz rule $\{FG,H\}=F\{G,H\}+\{F,H\}G$ for three functionals follows from the (52) upon using the Leibnitz rule for functional derivatives. In other words, the PB $\{F,G\}$ is a derivation in each entry holding the other fixed.

From (52) we deduce the PB among basic dynamical variables subject to the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho=$ constant:

$$\{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\rho(\mathbf{y})\}=0,\quad \{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\lambda(\mathbf{y})\}=0,\qquad \{v_i(\mathbf{x}),v_j(\mathbf{y})\}=(\omega_{ij}/\rho)(\mathbf{x}\text{ or }\mathbf{y})\,\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),$$
$$\{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})\}=-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=\frac{(\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}-\nabla_{\mathbf{x}})}{2}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),\qquad \{\lambda^2(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})\}=-\frac{\lambda^2(\mathbf{x})}{\rho(\mathbf{x})}\{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})\}. \tag{54}$$

Here $\omega_{ij}=\partial_i v_j-\partial_j v_i$ is the dual of vorticity, $w_i=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\omega_{jk}$ or $\omega_{lm}=\epsilon_{ilm}w_i$. (54) generalises Gardener's PB $\{u(\mathbf{x}),u(\mathbf{y})\}=\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mathbf{y}}-\partial_{\mathbf{x}})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$ for KdV [11]. The $\{v_i,v_j\}$ is akin to the PB between canonical momenta of a charged particle in a $\mathbf{B}$ field

$$\Big\{p_i-(e/c)A_i(\mathbf{x}),p_j-(e/c)A_j(\mathbf{x})\Big\}=(e/c)F_{ij}(\mathbf{x})\quad\text{where}\quad F_{ij}=\epsilon_{ijk}B_k. \tag{55}$$

$\mathbf{B}$ is analogous to $\mathbf{w}$ and F_{ij} to ω_{ij}. Some useful PBs follow. For instance ρ commutes with vorticity:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a)\quad \{\rho(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})\}=0=\{\lambda(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})\},\\
&(b)\quad \{v_i(\mathbf{x}),w_j(\mathbf{y})\}=\epsilon_{jkl}\partial_{\mathbf{y}^k}\Big(\rho^{-1}\,\omega_{il}(\mathbf{y})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\Big)=(\delta_{jk}\partial_{\mathbf{y}^i}-\delta_{ij}\partial_{\mathbf{y}^k})(\rho^{-1}w_k(\mathbf{y})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})),\\
&(c)\quad \{w_i(\mathbf{x}),w_j(\mathbf{y})\}=\epsilon_{ikl}\epsilon_{jmn}\partial_{\mathbf{x}^k}\partial_{\mathbf{y}^m}\Big(\rho^{-1}\omega_{ln}(\mathbf{x}\text{ or }\mathbf{y})\,\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\Big),\\
&(d)\quad \{v_k(\mathbf{x}),\omega_{ij}(\mathbf{y})\}=\partial_{\mathbf{y}^i}\Big(\rho^{-1}\omega_{kj}(\mathbf{y})\,\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\Big)-(i\leftrightarrow j),\\
&(e)\quad \{(\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{x}),\rho(y)\mathbf{y}\}=-(\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}})\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$(f) \qquad \{(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{x}),\rho(\mathbf{y})\} = -\nabla^2_{\mathbf{x}}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}). \tag{56}$$

Some PBs of $\mathbf{M}=\rho\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v}_*$ are collected in §A.1. Properties of PBs among linear functionals are discussed in §A.2. The basic PBs may also be written in Fourier space, which should be useful for numerics in a periodic domain:

$$\{\tilde\rho(\mathbf{k}),\tilde\rho(\mathbf{k}')\}=0, \qquad \{\tilde\rho(\mathbf{k}),v_j(\mathbf{k}')\} = -ik_j(2\pi)^3\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'), \quad \{\tilde v_i(\mathbf{k}),\tilde v_j(\mathbf{k}')\} = \left(\widetilde{\frac{\omega_{ij}}{\rho}}\right)(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'),$$
$$\text{where} \quad \tilde\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,d\mathbf{x}, \quad v_i(x)=\int \tilde v_i(k)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\,\frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3}, \quad \text{etc.} \tag{57}$$

The Jacobi identity is $\{\{F[\rho,\mathbf{v}],G[\rho,\mathbf{v}]\},H[\rho,\mathbf{v}]\}+\text{cyclic}=0$. Using the PB among ρ and $\mathbf{v}$, it is straightforward to check the Jacobi identity in some special cases, e.g., for coordinate functionals $F=\rho(x), G=\rho(y)$ and $H=\mathbf{v}(z)$ or for two $\mathbf{v}$'s and a ρ. It is not so straightforward to check the Jacobi condition in general, see the discussion in [8]. In §A.3 we give an elementary proof of the Jacobi identity for three linear functionals of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$. It involves a remarkable integral identity. In §A.4 we extend the proof to exponentials of linear functionals and use a functional Fourier transform to establish the identity for a much wider class of non-linear functionals. The Jacobi identity should also follow by interpreting these PBs as among functions on the dual of a Lie algebra, see [12]. Furthermore, one formally expects the Jacobi identity to hold if we regard these PB as the semi-classical limit of commutators in Landau's quantized superfluid model.

Poisson Brackets in terms of scalar and vector potentials: The Helmholtz theorem allows us to write $\mathbf{v}$ as a sum of curl-free and divergence-free fields $\mathbf{v}^{\text{irrot}}, \mathbf{v}^{\text{sol}}$. The irrotational and incompressible fields admit scalar and vector potentials:

$$\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}^{\text{irrot}}+\mathbf{v}^{\text{sol}} = -\nabla\phi+\nabla\times\mathbf{A}. \tag{58}$$

Note that $\phi,\mathbf{A}$ are non-local in $\mathbf{v}$. If the flow domain is $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbf{v}$ falls off faster than $1/r$, then

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\frac{\nabla_s\cdot\mathbf{v}(s)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s}|}d\mathbf{s} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int\frac{\mathbf{w}(s)}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s}|}d\mathbf{s} \quad\text{with}\quad \nabla\cdot\mathbf{A}=0. \tag{59}$$

We may treat ρ,ϕ and $\mathbf{A}$ [these velocity potentials should not be confused with the potentials of Maxwell theory] as dynamical variables in place of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$. It is interesting to identify their PBs. $\mathbf{A}$ commutes with ρ since $\mathbf{w}$ does. On the other hand $\{\phi(x),\rho(y)\}=\delta(x-y)$ since $\{\mathbf{v}(s),\rho(y)\}=-\nabla_s\delta(y-s)$ and $\nabla^2_s(1/|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s}|)=-4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{s})$. The PBs of $\mathbf{A}$ and ϕ are more involved:

$$16\pi^2\{\phi(\mathbf{x}),A_j(\mathbf{y})\} = \int\left[\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r})\cdot(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{r})w_j-(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{w}(y_j-r_j)}{\rho(\mathbf{r})\,|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}|^3|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{r}|^3}\right]d\mathbf{r}$$
$$\text{and}\quad 16\pi^2\{A_i(\mathbf{x}),A_j(\mathbf{y})\} = \int\left[\frac{\epsilon_{ijk}(y_k-r_k)(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{w}-(x_i-r_i)((\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{r})\times\mathbf{w})_j}{\rho(\mathbf{r})\,|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}|^3|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{r}|^3}\right]d\mathbf{r}. \tag{60}$$

Since $\{\rho(x),\rho(y)\}=0$ it is natural to ask whether $\{\phi,\phi\}=0$ so that ϕ and ρ would be canonically conjugate. We find

$$16\pi^2\{\phi(\mathbf{a}),\phi(\mathbf{b})\} = \int\frac{\partial_{r^i}\partial_{sj}\{v_i(r),v_j(s)\}}{|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}||\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{s}|}d\mathbf{r}\,d\mathbf{s} = \int\frac{(a_i-r_i)(b_j-r_j)}{|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}|^3|\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{r}|^3}\frac{\omega_{ij}}{\rho(\mathbf{r})}d\mathbf{r} = \int\frac{(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r})\times(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{w}}{\rho(\mathbf{r})|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{r}|^3|\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{r}|^3}d\mathbf{r}. \tag{61}$$

The integrals in (59, 60,61) are finite as may be seen in spherical coordinates centered at $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{a}$. Defining $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}$, the double pole at $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}=0$ is cancelled by the double zero in the volume element $\tilde r^2 d\tilde r d\Omega$. The same applies to a neighborhood of $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{b}$.

Note that, $\{\phi(\mathbf{a}),\phi(\mathbf{a})\}=0$, consistent with anti-symmetry. But ϕ at distinct locations don't generally commute. It suffices to show this in a special case. We take $\mathbf{a}=(0,0,0)$, $\mathbf{b}=(0,1,0)$, asymptotically constant $\rho=\frac{z}{z^2+1}$ and rapidly decaying $\mathbf{v}=x^2(y-1)^4e^{-r^2}\,\hat z$. This ensures (61) is manifestly convergent, $\mathbf{w}$ has zeros at $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}$ to cancel the apparent triple poles:

$$\mathbf{w} = e^{-r^2}\left[2x^2(y-1)^3(2-y(y-1))\,\hat x+2x(y-1)^4(x^2-1)\,\hat y\right] \quad\text{and}$$
$$\{\phi(\mathbf{a}),\phi(\mathbf{b})\} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\left(z^2+1\right)e^{-r^2}\left(2x^2(y-1)^3[2-y(y-1)]\right)}{r^3\,\left(x^2+(y-1)^2+z^2\right)^{3/2}}\,dx\,dy\,dz\approx -0.026\neq 0. \tag{62}$$

Thus ρ and ϕ are *not* canonically conjugate in general. But in irrotational flow, $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{A}=0$ so $\{\phi(a),\phi(b)\}\equiv 0$ and ρ,ϕ are canonically conjugate. This is reminiscent of the number density-phase PB and suggests the introduction of the complex field $\psi=\sqrt{\rho}e^{i\phi/\kappa}$ where κ is a constant with dimensions of diffusivity[2]. The ϕ-ρ PB (for $\mathbf{w}=0$) then imply that ψ and ψ^* satisfy canonical Bose PB: $\{\psi,\psi\}=\{\psi^*,\psi^*\}=0$ and $\{\psi(x),\psi^*(y)\}=(i/\kappa)\delta(x-y)$. The evolution equation for ψ in the irrotational case is reminiscent of the 3d Gross-Pitaevskii or nonlinear Schrödinger equation (for $\gamma=2$)

$$i\kappa\{\psi,H\} = i\kappa\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{2}\psi-U'(\rho)\,\psi-\frac{i\kappa}{2\rho}\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v})\psi \quad\text{where}\quad \mathbf{v}=-\frac{\kappa}{2i}\left(\frac{\psi^*\nabla\psi-\psi\nabla\psi^*}{|\psi|^2}\right) \quad\text{and}\quad \rho=|\psi|^2. \tag{63}$$

However, the above calculation implies that ψ,ψ^* are not canonical Bose fields for flows with vorticity.

[2] A natural choice is $\kappa=c_sL$ where c_s is a sound speed and L a macroscopic length associated with the flow. In quantum theory $\kappa=\hbar/m$.

4.1 Equations of motion from Hamiltonian and Poisson brackets

We show in this section that the continuity and R-Euler equations

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\nabla U'(\rho) - \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{w} \tag{64}$$

follow from Hamilton's equations $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \{\rho, H\}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \{\mathbf{v}, H\}$ for the swirl hamiltonian

$$H = \int \left[\frac{\rho \mathbf{v}^2}{2} + U(\rho) + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho \mathbf{w}^2}{2} \right] d\mathbf{r}. \tag{65}$$

We call the 3 terms kinetic (KE), potential (PE) and enstrophic (EE) energies. By the constitutive relation $\lambda^2 \rho$ is a constant. Here $U'(\rho) = h(\rho)$, e.g., for adiabatic flow $U(\rho) = p/(\gamma - 1)$ so that $U'(\rho) = h(\rho) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\frac{p}{\rho}$ and $\nabla U'(\rho) = \nabla h = \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p$.

For the continuity equation, we note that only KE contributes to $\{H, \rho\}$ since $\{\rho, \rho\} = \{\mathbf{w}, \rho\} = 0$:

$$\{H, \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = -\int_V \rho(\mathbf{x}) v_i(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mathbf{x}^i} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\, d\mathbf{x} = \int_V \partial_i [\rho(\mathbf{x}) v_i(\mathbf{x})]\, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\, d\mathbf{x} - \int_{\partial V} \rho(\mathbf{x}) v_i(\mathbf{x}) n_i\, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) dS = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}).$$

The boundary term vanishes as y is in the interior and x on the boundary ($\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$ also ensures this).

To get the R-Euler equation, we evaluate $\{H, \mathbf{v}\}$. The individual PBs are

$$\begin{aligned} \{KE, v_i\} &= (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) v_i - \int_{\partial V} \mathbf{v}^2 n_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\, dS, \quad \{PE, v_i\} = \partial_i U'(\rho) - \int_{\partial V} U'(\rho) n_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) dS \\ \text{and} \quad \{EE, v_i\} &= \lambda^2 (\mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}))_i - \int_{\partial V} \lambda^2 ((\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n}) \times \mathbf{w})_i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\, dS. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

The boundary terms vanish as before. The equation of motion for $\mathbf{v}$ then follows:

$$\{\mathbf{v}, H\} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} - \nabla U'(\rho) - \lambda^2 \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}). \tag{67}$$

For this to agree with the Euler equation $U'(\rho)$ must be chosen to be the enthalpy $h(\rho)$.

4.2 Poisson brackets among locally conserved quantities and symmetry generators

We work out the PBs among locally conserved quantities of regularized compressible flow. As one might expect, linear and angular momenta and helicity Poisson commute with the *swirl* hamiltonian

$$\{P_i, H\} = \{L_i, H\} = \{h, H\} = 0. \tag{68}$$

BC are important: we would not expect linear or angular momenta to be conserved in a finite container that breaks translation or rotation invariance. Decaying BC ($\mathbf{v} \to 0, \rho \to$ constant) in an infinite domain would guarantee the above PB. More generally, we show below that the above PB may be expressed in terms of the conserved (regularized) currents of momentum, angular momentum and helicity. So these PB vanish provided the corresponding currents have zero flux across the boundary.

$\{P_i, H\}$ can be expressed as the divergence of the momentum current Π_{ij} using $\rho \nabla U' = \nabla p$ and the constitutive relation:

$$\{P_i, H\} = \int_V \left(\partial_i p + \partial_j (\rho v_i v_j) + \lambda^2 \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_i \mathbf{w}^2 - \partial_j (w_j w_i) \right) \right) d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\partial V} \Pi_{ij} n_j dS. \tag{69}$$

This vanishes if the momentum current (27) has zero flux across the boundary. Similarly, $\{L_i, H\}$ can be expressed as a boundary term after dropping some terms using antisymmetry of ϵ:

$$\{L_i, H\} = \epsilon_{ijk} \int_{\partial V} x_j \left[-\rho v_l v_k - p \delta_{kl} - \lambda^2 \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^2 \delta_{lk} - w_l w_k \right) \right] n_l\, dS. \tag{70}$$

This vanishes if the regularized angular momentum current (28) has zero flux across the boundary. The PB of the swirl hamiltonian with helicity can be expressed in terms of the regularized helicity current. Since $\{\rho, \mathbf{w}\} = 0$ we have,

$$\{KE + PE, h\} = \int_V \left[-v_j(\mathbf{x}) w_i(\mathbf{y}) \omega_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + \rho(\mathbf{x}) v_j(\mathbf{x}) v_i(\mathbf{y}) \epsilon_{ilk} \partial_{\mathbf{y}^l} \left(\frac{\omega_{jk}(\mathbf{y})}{\rho(\mathbf{y})} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right) \right] d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{y}$$

$$-\int_V \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2(\mathbf{x}) + U'(\rho(\mathbf{x}))\right)\left[w_i(\mathbf{y})\partial_{\mathbf{x}_i}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right]\, d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\partial V}[\mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) + \sigma\mathbf{w}]\cdot\hat{n}\, dS.$$

Note: $\mathbf{v}\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{w}) + \sigma\mathbf{w}$ is the unregularized ($\lambda \to 0$) helicity current. Using (11) and repeated integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned}\{EE, h\} &= \iint_V \{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\mathbf{w}^2, \mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{w}\} = -\lambda^2\rho \iint_V \left(w_i(\mathbf{x})v_j(y)\epsilon_{ikl}\epsilon_{jmn}\partial_{\mathbf{x}^k}\partial_{\mathbf{y}^m}\left(\frac{\omega_{nl}(\mathbf{x})}{\rho(\mathbf{x})}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right)\right) d\mathbf{x}\, d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \int_{\partial V}\lambda^2(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{v})\cdot\hat{n}\, dS - \int_{\partial V}\int_{\partial V}\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\cdot((\mathbf{w}\times\hat{n})\times(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n}))\, dS\, dS. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

We conclude that $\{H,h\} = \int_{\partial V}\mathbf{j}_h\cdot\hat{n} - \int_{\partial V}\int_{\partial V}\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\cdot((\mathbf{w}\times\hat{n})\times(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n}))\, dS\, dS$ where $\mathbf{j}_h$ is the conserved helicity current (26). So if we use decaying or $\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0$ BCs, then $\mathbf{j}_h$ has zero flux across ∂V and the double boundary term also vanishes ensuring $\{H,h\} = 0$. Helicity also commutes with $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ with decaying or $\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0$ BCs

$$\{\mathbf{P}, h\} = \int_{\partial V}[(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n})\times\mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n})\mathbf{v}]\, dS, \quad \{\mathbf{L}, h\} = \int_{\partial V}\mathbf{r}\times[(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n})\times\mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n})\mathbf{v}]\, dS. \tag{72}$$

Indeed it is known that helicity is a Casimir of the Poisson algebra with decaying or $\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n} = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0$ BCs. Using $\delta h/\delta\mathbf{v} = 2\mathbf{w}$ (assuming $\mathbf{v}\times\hat{n} = 0$ on ∂V), we have for any functional F of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$,

$$\{h, F[\rho,\mathbf{v}]\} = 2\int_V\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\cdot\left(\mathbf{w}\times\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right) - \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}\right]d\mathbf{x} = -2\int_{\partial V}(\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{n})\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}dS = 0. \tag{73}$$

The PBs among $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\{L_i, L_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk}L_k + \int_{\partial V}\rho(\mathbf{r})[(\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{v})_i(\mathbf{r}\times\hat{n})_j - (i\leftrightarrow j)]\, dS \\ \text{and}\quad \{P_i, L_j\} &= \epsilon_{ijk}P_k + \int_{\partial V}\rho(\mathbf{r})\left[(\mathbf{r}\times\hat{n})_j v_i - (\mathbf{r}\times\mathbf{v})_j n_i\right] dS. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

So with, say decaying BCs, both $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ transform as vectors under rotations generated by $\mathbf{L}$. Finally, the generator of Galilean boosts is $\mathbf{G} = \int\mathbf{r}\rho\, d\mathbf{r}$. $\mathbf{G}$ is not conserved, its PB with swirl energy is momentum

$$\{G_i, H\} = \int\mathbf{x}_i\{\rho(\mathbf{x}), H\}\, d\mathbf{x} = -\int\mathbf{x}_i\dot{\rho}\, d\mathbf{x} = -\int\mathbf{x}_i\partial_j(\rho v_j)\, d\mathbf{x} = \delta_{ij}\int\rho v_j = P_i. \tag{75}$$

We similarly check that $\mathbf{G}$ transforms as a vector under rotations $\{G_i, L_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk}G_k$ and that $\{\mathbf{G}, h\} = \{G_i, G_j\} = 0$. Finally there is a central term in $\{G_i, P_j\} = M\delta_{ij}$ where M is the total mass of fluid.

4.3 Other constitutive laws and regularizations

An interesting application of our Hamiltonian and PB formulation is to the identification of other possible conservative regularizations that preserve the symmetries of the Euler equations. An interesting class of these arise by choosing new constitutive relations. Recall that the regularization term $\lambda^2\mathbf{T}$ was selected since it is the least non-linear term of lowest spatial order that preserves the symmetries of Euler. Moreover, with the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho = c$, R-Euler admits a conserved swirl energy E^* which implies bounded enstrophy. R-Euler equations are Hamilton's equations for E^* and the standard PBs.

Retaining the same Poisson brackets and form for the hamiltonian,

$$H = \int\left[\frac{1}{2}\rho\mathbf{v}^2 + U(\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho\mathbf{w}^2\right] d\mathbf{r}, \tag{76}$$

we will now allow for more general constitutive relations, e.g., $\lambda_n^2\rho = c_n\left(\mathbf{w}^2\right)^n$ where c_n is a positive constant. The virtue of this type of constitutive law is that the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ moment of $\mathbf{w}^2$ is bounded in the flow generated by this conserved hamiltonian[3]. From Hamilton's equation for ρ we see that the continuity equation is unaltered since ρ commutes with itself and $\mathbf{w}$ (in fact as long as λ depends only on ρ and $\mathbf{w}$, the continuity equation will remain the same). However, there is a new regularization term in the equation for $\mathbf{v}$. Indeed, from (56) one finds that

$$\left\{\mathbf{v}, \int\frac{1}{2}\lambda_n^2\rho\mathbf{w}^2\, d\mathbf{y}\right\} = c_n(n+1)\int(\mathbf{w}^2(\mathbf{y}))^n\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})\}\cdot\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})\, d\mathbf{y} = -\frac{(n+1)c_n}{\rho}\left[\mathbf{w}\times\left(\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}^2)^n\mathbf{w}\right)\right]. \tag{77}$$

[3]More generally c_n could depend on ρ without affecting the continuity equation but resulting in additional terms in the equation of motion which ensure boundedness of $\int c_n(\rho)(\mathbf{w}^2)^{n+1}\, d\mathbf{r}$.

Thus the equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \{\mathbf{v}, H\} = -\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p - \frac{(n+1)c_n}{\rho}\left[\mathbf{w}\times\left(\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}^2)^n\mathbf{w}\right)\right]. \tag{78}$$

It is clear that when $n = 0$, this reduces to the R-Euler equation for which the first moment of $\mathbf{w}^2$ (enstrophy) is bounded. For $n > 0$ we get new regularization terms which are more non-linear (i.e, of degree $2n + 2$ in $\mathbf{v}$) than the quadratic twirl term, though the equation *remains* 2^{nd} order in space derivatives. Furthermore, P_i, L_i continue to be conserved as the new constitutive relation does not break translation or rotation symmetries (it only depends on the scalar $\mathbf{w}^2$). Flow helicity is also conserved being a Casimir of the Poisson algebra. Finally, parity, time reversal and Galilean boost invariance are also preserved.

5 Some solutions of regularized flow equations

5.1 Compressible flow model for rotating vortex

In this section we model a *steady* tornado [cylindrically symmetric rotating columnar vortex with axis along z] using the compressible R-Euler equations. The unregularized Euler equations do not involve derivatives of vorticity, and admit solutions where the vorticity can be discountinuous or even divergent (e.g. at the edge of the tornado). On the other hand, the R-Euler equations involve the first derivative of $\mathbf{w}$ and can be expected to smooth out large gradients in vorticity on a length scale of order λ while ensuring bounded enstrophy.

Given appropriate initial profiles for $\mathbf{v}$ and ρ, the R-Euler equations should uniquely determine ρ and $\mathbf{v}$ at later times. However, unlike the initial value problem, the *steady* R-Euler equations are under-determined (just like the steady Euler equations). As a consequence of this under-determinacy, the system may reach different steady states depending on the initial conditions. This is unlike dissipative systems (e.g. Navier-Stokes) which typically have a unique steady solution irrespective of initial conditions (except when there are bifurcations to multiple steady states allowed by the boundary conditions).

In our rotating vortex model, the ρ and p depend only on the distance from the central axis while $\mathbf{v}$ is purely azimuthal ($\mathbf{v} = v_\phi(r)\,\hat{\phi}$) and vorticity vertical $\mathbf{w} = w_z(r)\,\hat{z}$. In the steady state there is a single equation for the two unknowns w_z and ρ, so we can determine the density profile given a suitable vorticity field. In the vortex core of radius a, we assume the fluid rotates at approximately constant angular velocity Ω. Far from the core, $\mathbf{w} \to 0$. In a boundary layer of width $\ll a$, the $\mathbf{w}$ smoothly interpolates between its core and exterior values. As a consequence of the regularization term, we find that this decrease in vorticity is related to a corresponding increase in density (from a rare core to a denser periphery). By contrast, the unregularized Euler equations (i.e. $\lambda \to 0$) allow $\mathbf{w}$ to have unrestricted discontinuities across the layer while ρ is continuous.

5.1.1 Steady state regularized equations in cylindrical geometry

Our infinitely long columnar vortex rotates about the z-axis and is assumed to be rotationally and translationally invariant about its axis. Hence $\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{z} = 0$. We seek steady solutions of the R-Euler system. The continuity equation $\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$ becomes $\partial_x(\rho v_x) + \partial_y(\rho v_y) = 0$. The incompressible 2-d vector field $\rho\mathbf{v}$ can be expressed in terms of a scalar stream function $\rho\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\times(\psi\hat{z})$. Axisymmetry dictates that ψ is a function of the cylindrical coordinate r alone. It follows that $\mathbf{v}$ is purely azimuthal: $v_\phi = \psi'(r)/\rho$ and the continuity equation is identically satisfied. The steady state R-Euler equation is

$$\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\sigma - \lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}) \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma = h + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2. \tag{79}$$

h is specific enthalpy/Gibbs free energy for adiabatic/isothermal flow. Vorticity is vertical ($w_z = \frac{1}{r}(rv_\phi)'$) while its curl is azimuthal $(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})_\phi = -w_z'(r)$. Thus the vorticity $\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}$ and twirl accelerations both point radially:

$$(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v})_r = -w_z v_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}))_r = w_z\frac{\partial w_z}{\partial r}. \tag{80}$$

Hence $\nabla\sigma$ must also be radial and h and ρ functions of r alone. Thus the steady R-Euler equations reduce to a single 1^{st} order nonlinear ODE for $\rho(r)$ given $v_\phi(r)$ or $w_z(r)$. To solve it we need an equation of state relating p to ρ.

$$w_z v_\phi = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(h + \frac{1}{2}v_\phi^2\right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial w_z^2}{\partial r} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{v_\phi^2}{r} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial w_z^2}{\partial r}. \tag{81}$$

5.1.2 Vortex model with rigidly rotating fluid core

As a simple model for a rotating vortex of core radius a, we consider the vorticity distribution (see Fig. 1)

$$w_z(r) = 2\Omega\left[1 - \tanh\left(\frac{r-a}{\epsilon}\right)\right]\,[1 + \tanh(a/\epsilon)]^{-1}\,. \tag{82}$$

Over a transition layer of width $\approx 2\epsilon \ll a$, the vorticity drops rapidly from $\approx 2\Omega$ to ≈ 0. In the vortex core $r \ll a - \epsilon$, the flow corresponds to rigid body rotation at the constant angular velocity $\Omega\hat{z}$, apart from higher order corrections in ϵ. Thus in the core, the vorticity is roughly twice the angular velocity and $\mathbf{v} = \Omega\hat{z} \times \mathbf{r} \approx \Omega r\hat{\phi}$. In the exterior region, for $r \gg a + \epsilon$ the vorticity tends to zero exponentially. The velocity is obtained by integration subject to the BC $v_\phi(0) = 0$.

$$v_\phi(r) = \Omega\frac{\epsilon^2\left[\mathrm{Li}_2\left(-e^{\frac{2(a-r)}{\epsilon}}\right) - \mathrm{Li}_2\left(-e^{\frac{2a}{\epsilon}}\right)\right] + 2\left[\epsilon(a-r)\log\left(e^{\frac{2(a-r)}{\epsilon}}+1\right) + a\left(r + \epsilon\log\frac{\cosh(a/\epsilon)}{\cosh((a-r)/\epsilon)}\right) - a\epsilon\log\left(e^{\frac{2a}{\epsilon}}+1\right)\right]}{r\left(\tanh\frac{a}{\epsilon}+1\right)}. \tag{83}$$

The velocity profile (Fig.1) rises nearly linearly with r/a in the core [rigid body motion] and drops off as $\sim 1/r$ at large distances like a typical, irrotational potential vortex. In the transition layer $a - \epsilon \lesssim r \lesssim a + \epsilon$ the radial derivative of the velocity varies rapidly. The density can be obtained by integrating the steady R-Euler equation. We do this below in the simpler case of



Figure 1: Vorticity $w_z(r)$ and velocity $v_\phi(r)$ for rotating vortex of core radius a and angular velocity Ω. $\rho(r)$ for isothermal flow increases outwards from core and reaches an asymptotic value. The regularization relates the drop in w_z to an increase in ρ in a layer of thickness $\approx \epsilon = \lambda$ around $r = a$.

isothermal flow where the equation for ρ is linear since $p \propto \rho$. The adiabatic case ($p/p_0 = (\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma$) is similar, but the steady state equation (81) is a non-linear first order ODE for density: $\rho\frac{v_\phi^2}{r} = \gamma\frac{p_0}{\rho_0^\gamma}\rho^{\gamma-1}\rho'(r) + \frac{\rho\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial w_z^2}{\partial r}$.

5.1.3 Vortex with with isothermal flow

For isothermal flow the ideal gas equation of state $pV = nk_BT$ implies the pressure-density relation $p = (p_0/\rho_0)\rho$. The specific 'Gibbs free energy' h is obtained from the condition $\nabla h = \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p$,

$$\nabla h = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}\frac{\nabla\rho}{\rho} = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}\nabla\log\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \quad\Rightarrow\quad h = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}\log\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}. \tag{84}$$

The flow is assumed purely 'hydrodynamic': internal energy changes due to density variations are ignored; entropy and the internal energy equation do not play a role. The steady equation (81) in the isothermal case is

$$\frac{v_\phi^2}{r} = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}\frac{\rho'(r)}{\rho(r)} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial w_z^2}{\partial r} \quad\Rightarrow\quad \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}\rho'(r) - \frac{v_\phi^2}{r}\rho(r) = -\frac{\lambda_0^2\rho_0}{2}(w_z^2)'. \tag{85}$$

This is a first order linear inhomogeneous ODE for ρ with variable coefficients in the standard form[4]

$$A(r)\rho' + B(r)\rho = f(r), \quad \text{where} \quad A = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0}, \quad B = -\frac{v_\phi^2}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad f(r) = -\frac{\lambda_0^2\rho_0}{2}(w_z^2)'. \tag{86}$$

It is convenient to take the reference values ρ_0, λ_0, p_0 to be at $r = 0$. The solution for $\rho(r)$ is

$$\rho = \frac{\rho_0 q(0)}{q(r)}\left[1 + \int_0^r \frac{q(s)f(s)}{q(0)\rho(0)A}\,ds\right] = \frac{\rho_0 q(0)}{q(r)}\left[1 - \frac{\Omega^2\lambda_0^2\rho_0}{2p_0}\int_0^r \frac{q(s)}{q(0)}\left(\frac{w_z^2}{\Omega^2}\right)'\,ds\right] \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{q(r)}{q(0)} = \exp\left[-\frac{\rho_0}{p_0}\int_0^r \frac{v_\phi^2}{s}\,ds\right]. \tag{87}$$

[4] Putting $q'/q = B/A$ the equation becomes $(q\rho)' = qf/A$ whence $\rho = \frac{1}{q}[\rho(0)q(0) + \int_0^r \frac{qf}{A}\,ds]$.

$q(r)$ is a positive monotonically (exponentially) decreasing function of r and we can take $q(0) = 1$ without loss of generality. The integrations are done numerically and the resulting density is plotted in Fig 1. ρ is monotonically increasing from $\rho(0)$ to an asymptotic value $\rho(\infty)$ (material has been 'ejected' from the core). The above formula shows that one effect of the regularization is to decrease the density relative to its Eulerian value (especially outside the core). To get more insight into the role of the regularization we solve the steady equation approximately in the core, transition and exterior regions separately.

• **Vortex Core** $0 < r \lesssim a_- = a - \epsilon$: In this region $w_z(r) \approx w_z(0) = 2\Omega$. The corresponding velocity $v_\phi(r) = \frac{r}{2} w_z(0) = r\Omega$ grows linearly as for a rigidly rotating fluid. Since $\mathbf{w}$ is roughly constant, the regularization term may be ignored and (85) becomes $\rho'(r) = \rho(r)\,(\rho_0/p_0)\Omega^2 r$. The density grows exponentially inside the vortex core:

$$\rho(r) \approx \rho(0) \exp\left(\frac{\rho_0 \Omega^2 r^2}{2p_0}\right) \approx \rho(a_-) \exp\left(\frac{\rho_0 \Omega^2 (r^2 - a_-^2)}{2p_0}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad r \lesssim a_-. \tag{88}$$

• **Outside the vortex** $r \gtrsim a_+ = a + \epsilon$**:** Here $w_z(r) \approx 0$ so the velocity decays as $v_\phi(r) = \frac{a_+ v_\phi(a_+)}{r}$. Again, ignoring the regularization term, the steady state density is determined by (85)

$$\frac{\rho'(r)}{\rho(r)} = \frac{\rho_0 a_+^2 v_\phi(a_+)^2}{p_0} \frac{1}{r^3}. \tag{89}$$

$\rho(r)$ monotonically increases from its value at the outer edge $\rho(a_+)$ to an asymptotic value $\rho(\infty)$

$$\rho(r) = \rho(a_+) \exp\left(\frac{\rho_0 v_\phi(a_+)^2 \left(r^2 - a_+^2\right)}{2p_0 r^2}\right) = \rho(\infty) \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_0 v_\phi(a_+)^2 a_+^2}{2p_0 r^2}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad r \gtrsim a_+. \tag{90}$$

Even in this approximation, ρ in the exterior depends on the regularization via $v_\phi(a_+)$.

• **Transition layer** $a_- \lesssim r \lesssim a_+$**:** Here $w_z(r)$ (82) rapidly falls from $w_z(0)$ to 0. $v_\phi(r)$ is given by (83). ρ is determined by

$$\frac{\rho v_\phi^2}{r} = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0} \rho'(r) + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho}{2} \frac{\partial w_z^2}{\partial r}. \tag{91}$$

To find the density we integrate this equation from a_- to $r < a_+$ using the relation $\lambda^2 \rho =$ constant:

$$\int_{a_-}^{r} \frac{\rho v_\phi^2}{r} dr' = \frac{p_0}{\rho_0} \left[\rho(r) - \rho(a_-)\right] + \frac{\lambda^2 \rho}{2} \left(w_z^2(r) - w_z^2(a_-)\right). \tag{92}$$

Since the layer is thin ($\epsilon \ll a$) and ρ, v_ϕ are continuous across the layer, we may ignore the LHS. Thus the rapid decrease in w_z must be compensated by a corresponding increase in ρ across the layer

$$(p_0/\rho_0) \left[\rho(r) - \rho(a_-)\right] \approx -(\lambda^2 \rho/2) \left[w_z^2(r) - w_z^2(a_-)\right]. \tag{93}$$

The increase in ρ is not as rapid as the fall in w_z since the latter is multiplied λ^2. For our vorticity profile (82), taking $w_z(a_-) \approx w_z(0) = 2\Omega$, we get $\rho(r)$ in the transition layer

$$\rho(r) \approx \rho(a_-) + \frac{2(\Omega\lambda_0)^2 \rho_0^2}{p_0} \left[1 - \frac{(1 - \tanh((r-a)/\epsilon))^2}{(1 + \tanh(a/\epsilon))^2}\right]. \tag{94}$$

In particular, $\rho(a_+)$ exceeds $\rho(a_-)$ by an amount determined by the regularization

$$\rho(a_+) \approx \rho(a_-) + \frac{2(\Omega\lambda_0)^2 \rho_0^2}{p_0} \left[1 - \frac{[1 - \tanh(1)]^2}{(1 + \tanh(a/\epsilon))^2}\right] \approx \rho(a_-) + 2M^2 \rho_0 \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon \ll a. \tag{95}$$

We see that for $\epsilon \ll a$ (vortex edge thin compared to core size), the twirl force causes an increase in density across the boundary layer by an amount controlled by the 'twirl Mach number' $M = \frac{\lambda_0 \Omega}{c_s}$ where $c_s = \sqrt{p_0/\rho_0}$ is the isothermal sound speed.

The steady R-Euler equation (91) for the vortex is similar to Schrödinger's stationary equation for a non-relativistic quantum particle in a 1d delta potential: $E\psi(x) = -g\delta(x)\psi(x) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi''(x)$. $E\psi$ is like $\frac{\rho v_\phi^2}{r}$ on the LHS of (91). The potential $-g\delta(x)\psi(x)$ and kinetic $-(\hbar^2/2m)\psi''(x)$ terms mimic the pressure $(p_0/\rho_0)\rho'$ and twirl $\frac{\lambda^2\rho}{2}(w_z^2)'$ terms respectively. The kinetic and twirl terms are both singular perturbations. The free particle regions $x < 0$ and $x > 0$ are like the interior and exterior of the vortex. The bound-state wave function is $\psi(x) = A\exp(-\kappa|x|)$ with $\kappa = \sqrt{-2mE}/\hbar$, so ψ' has a jump discontinuity at $x = 0$. The boundary layer is like the point $x = 0$ where the delta potential is supported. Just as we integrated R-Euler across the transition layer, we integrate Schrödinger in a neighbourhood of $x = 0$ to get $\psi'(\epsilon) - \psi'(-\epsilon) = -\frac{2mg}{\hbar^2}\psi(0)$. The discontinuity in ψ' is determined by $\psi(0)$, just as the increase in ρ across the layer is fixed by the corresponding drop in w_z (93). Finally, $\lambda > 0$ regularizes Euler flow just as $\hbar > 0$ regularizes the classical theory, ensuring $E_{\rm gs} = -\frac{mg^2}{2\hbar^2}$ is bounded below.

5.2 Simple model for channel flow using regularized equations

We consider flow along an infinitely long (in the x direction) and infinitely wide (in the z direction) channel. The channel extends from $y = 0$ to a height of $y = a$. We seek a steady state solution of the regularized equations with velocity field $\mathbf{v} = (u(y), 0, 0)$ and density ρ a function of y alone. i.e., velocity and density vary with height but are translation invariant along the length and breadth of the channel. The steady state continuity equation $\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$ is identically satisfied since $\partial_x(\rho(y)u(y)) = 0$. For our velocity field the advection term in the momentum equation $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}$ is identically zero and

$$\mathbf{w} = -u_y \hat{z}, \quad \mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v} = -u u_y \hat{y}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{w} = -u_{yy} \hat{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) = u_y u_{yy} \hat{y}. \tag{96}$$

Note that subscripts denote derivatives. So only the $\hat{y}$ component of the momentum equation survives:

$$\lambda^2 u_y u_{yy} = -\partial_y h(\rho(y)). \tag{97}$$

In other words, the steady state equations are underdetermined, we have a single second order non-linear ODE for both $u(y)$ and $\rho(y)$. So given $u(y)$ and a suitable boundary value, say $\rho(0)$, we may determine the density profile. In particular, in the unregularized theory ($\lambda = 0$), the Euler equation simply states that density must be a constant since $\partial_y h(\rho) = 0$. As a consequence, the unregularized velocity u can be an arbitrary function of y (satisfying appropriate boundary conditions). So the regularization introduces a non-trivial dependence of $\rho(y)$ on $u(y)$.

Remark on energy conservation: For steady flow, local conservation of energy becomes $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} = 0$. $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} \equiv 0$ for channel flow since the energy current points along $\hat{x}$ but depends only on y:

$$\mathbf{f} = \rho\sigma\mathbf{v} + \lambda^2\rho((\mathbf{w} \times \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{w}) + \lambda^4 \rho \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{w} \;=\; \left[\rho\left(h + \frac{1}{2}u^2\right)u + \lambda^2 \rho u u_y^2 - \lambda^4 \rho u_y^2 u_{yy}\right]\hat{x}. \tag{98}$$

Furthermore, the energy flux across the upper and lower walls of the channel vanish ($\mathbf{f} \cdot \hat{z} = 0$). So energy is conserved even though our flow does *not* satisfy the BC $\mathbf{w} \times \hat{n} = 0$ that we obtained as a sufficient condition for energy conservation in §3.

5.2.1 Isothermal channel flow

For isothermal flow specific enthalpy is $h = (p_0/\rho_0)\log(\rho/\rho_0)$. Since $\lambda^2\rho$ is a constant, the R-Euler equation (97) becomes

$$\frac{\lambda^2\rho}{2}\frac{\partial u_y^2}{\partial y} = -(p_0/\rho_0)\rho_y \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_y\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho u_y^2 + \frac{p_0\rho}{\rho_0}\right) = 0. \tag{99}$$

This equation can be regarded as a regularized version of Bernoulli's equation. In fact, as $\mathbf{w} = -u_y\hat{z}$, it states the spatial constancy of the sum of enstrophic and compressional energy densities. The kinetic energy contribution is absent due to the assumption of a purely longitudinal velocity field that varies only with height: recall that the advection term $\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{v}$ is identically zero. As a consequence, this version of Bernoulli's equation is very different in character from the usual one, which involves the kinetic energy of the flow and the compressional energy. In that case, the pressure is lower where the velocity is higher. In the present case, we find that the density, and hence the pressure, is higher where the flow speed is higher! This is fundamentally a consequence of the regularizing "twirl acceleration".

An exact first integral of the above equation is $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho u_y^2 + (p_0/\rho_0)\rho = K$, where K is an integration constant. We make use of the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho = \lambda_0^2\rho_0$, where both λ_0 and ρ_0 are taken at the base of the channel $y = 0$, and evaluate the equation there to obtain $K = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0^2\rho_0 u_y^2(0) + p_0$. For convenience, we use the reference values $p_0 = p(0)$ and $\rho_0 = \rho(0)$ to be the pressure and density at $y = 0$. To proceed to find K, we consider the example of a parabolic velocity profile:

$$u(y) = 4u_{\max}\left[\frac{y}{a}\left(1 - \frac{y}{a}\right)\right], \tag{100}$$

where $u_{\max} = u(a/2)$ is the flow velocity midway up the channel, and $u(0) = 0$. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = u_y = 4\frac{u_{\max}}{a}\left[1 - 2\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)\right] \quad \text{and so} \quad u_y(0) = 4\frac{u_{\max}}{a}. \tag{101}$$

Thus the Bernoulli constant $K = 8\rho_0 u_{\max}^2(\lambda_0/a)^2 + p_0$. Substitution in the Bernoulli integral leads to the density profile:

$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = 1 + 32\left(\frac{\rho_0 u_{\max}^2}{p_0}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{a}\right)^2\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)\left(1 - \frac{y}{a}\right). \tag{102}$$

The resulting density profile is also parabolic. The density increases from $\rho(0) = \rho_0$ at the bottom of the channel to a maximum value half way up the channel and decreases symmetrically back to ρ_0 at the top. Thus, we have,

$$\frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_0} = 1 + 8\left(\frac{\rho_0 u_{\max}^2}{p_0}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{a}\right)^2. \tag{103}$$

We note that in isothermal conditions, we may write, $c_s^2 = p_0/\rho_0$, where c_s is the isothermal sound-speed. Since the Mach number of the flow along the centre is, $M^2 = u_{\max}^2/c_s^2$, we have the relation:

$$\frac{\rho_{\max}}{\rho_0} = 1 + 8M^2\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{a}\right)^2. \tag{104}$$

M can take any value in principle. The second factor, $(\lambda_0/a)^2$, is by assumption a very small number. For moderate Mach numbers, the density increase is rather small. The flow superficially resembles Poiseuille flow [but is quite different from irrotational Euler flow in having vorticity and twirl acceleration] and satisfies the same boundary conditions, but is strictly non-dissipative. It should be noted that Poiseuille flow involves a constant pressure *gradient along the flow* driving the latter against viscosity, whereas in the present case, there is no variation of any quantity along the flow.

5.2.2 Adiabatic channel flow

For adiabatic channel flow $(p/p_0) = (\rho/\rho_0)^\gamma$ and $h = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\frac{p}{\rho}$. We employ the same parabolic velocity profile as in the isothermal case. Since $\lambda^2\rho$ is a constant, the R-Euler equation (97) becomes a "twirl force" Bernoulli's equation:

$$\frac{\lambda^2\rho}{2}\frac{\partial u_y^2}{\partial y} = -\rho\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_y\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho u_y^2 + p\right) = 0. \tag{105}$$

As before we obtain the exact first integral $\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho u_y^2 + p = K$. Making use of the constitutive relation we evaluate the Bernoulli constant at $y = 0$ by choosing $p_0 = p(0); \rho_0 = \rho(0)$:

$$K = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0^2\rho_0 u_y(0)^2 + p_0. \tag{106}$$

Substitution in the exact integral to eliminate K, we obtain the pressure (and density) distributions

$$\frac{p}{p_0} = \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^\gamma = 1 + 32\left(\frac{\rho_0 u_{\max}^2}{p_0}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{a}\right)^2\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)\left(1 - \frac{y}{a}\right). \tag{107}$$

For adiabatic flow, p/p_0 varies with height in exactly the same way as ρ/ρ_0 in the isothermal case!

5.3 Isothermal plane vortex sheet

As a typical illustrative example, we consider a steady plane vortex sheet under isothermal conditions. The vortex sheet is assumed to lie in the x-z plane and to have a thickness θ in the y-direction. We assume the velocity points in the x-direction $\mathbf{v} = (u(y), 0, 0)$ and approaches *different* asymptotic values $u_\pm$ as $y \to \pm\infty$. The density ρ is also assumed to vary only with height y. Exactly as in channel flow, we obtain the equation for time-independent flows (99)

$$\partial_y\left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho u_y^2 + p_0\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) = 0. \tag{108}$$

The steady state is not unique and this equation can be used to find the density profile for any given vorticity profile. To model a vortex sheet of thickness θ we take the vorticity profile in y to be given by

$$u_y = \Delta u\left(\frac{\theta}{\pi}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\theta^2 + y^2}\right] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{w} = -u_y(y)\,\hat{z} \tag{109}$$

Here $\Delta u = u_+ - u_-$ and $w_0 = -\frac{\Delta u}{\pi\theta}$ is the z-component of vorticity on the sheet. We obtain, as usual, the first integral,

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_0^2\rho_0 u_y^2 + \frac{p_0\rho}{\rho_0} = K. \tag{110}$$

The suffix in this instance refers to quantities on the sheet ($y = 0$). The Bernoulli constant

$$K = p_0 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_0(\Delta u)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_0^2}{\pi^2\theta^2}\right). \tag{111}$$

We obtain the velocity profile by integration:

$$u(y) = u_- + (\Delta u)\left(\frac{\theta}{\pi}\right)\int_{-\infty}^{y} \frac{d\mu}{\theta^2+\mu^2} = u_- + (\Delta u)\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi}\arctan\left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)\right]. \tag{112}$$

Assuming $u_+ > u_-$, the velocity monotonically increases from u_- to u_+ with increasing height y. Moreover, the velocity on the sheet $u(0) = \frac{1}{2}(u_- + u_+)$ is the average of its asymptotic values. The density profile follows from the first integral:

$$\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\pi\theta}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\rho_0(\Delta u)^2}{p_0}\right]\left(1 - \left[\frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2+y^2}\right]^2\right). \tag{113}$$

In particular, the asymptotic densities are

$$\frac{\rho_{\pm\infty}}{\rho_0} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\pi\theta}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\rho_0(\Delta u)^2}{p_0}\right]. \tag{114}$$

Thus, the density is decreased at the sheet relative to the values at $\pm\infty$. If the sheet thickness $\theta \gg \lambda_0/\pi$, the decrease is not significant. If the thickness is comparable to the regularizing length λ_0, the density decrease at the sheet can be considerable, depending upon the 'relative flow Mach number' defined as, $(\Delta M)^2 = (\rho_0/p_0)(\Delta U)^2$. Unlike velocity, the density increases from the sheet to the same asymptotic values on either side of the sheet ($y = \pm\infty$), reflecting the symmetry of the assumed vorticity profile. This is similar to the rotating vortex/tornado model (5.1) where an increase in density outwards from the core of the vortex is balanced by a corresponding decrease in vorticity.

5.4 Regularized plane flow

It is interesting to consider the R-Euler equations for flow on the x-y plane with $\mathbf{v} = (u(x,y), v(x,y), 0)$. First consider the case of incompressible flow $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$ with constant ρ, and hence constant λ. The condition $u_x + v_y = 0$ is solved in terms of a stream function $u = -\psi_y$ and $v = \psi_x$ (subscripts denote partial derivatives). Vorticity points vertically $\mathbf{w} = w\hat{z}$ with $w = v_x - u_y = \Delta\psi$. The twirl acceleration is proportional to the gradient of w^2:

$$\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w}) = w\hat{z}\times(w_y\hat{x} - w_x\hat{y}) = w\nabla w = (1/2)\nabla w^2. \tag{115}$$

So for constant λ, the incompressible 2d R-Euler equation becomes

$$\partial_t\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\left(\sigma + (1/2)\lambda^2 w^2\right). \tag{116}$$

The twirl acceleration term may be absorbed into a redefinition of stagnation enthalpy σ. In particular, the regularization drops out of the evolution equation for vorticity $\mathbf{w}_t + \nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}) = 0$, which states that $\mathbf{w}$ is frozen into the incompressible flow field $\mathbf{v}$. In other words, for incompressible plane flow, the regularization plays no role in vortical dynamics. This is to be expected: enstrophy $\int w^2\,dx\,dy$ is bounded in incompressible 2d flows (indeed it is conserved) and there is no vortex stretching.

By contrast, compressible flow on a plane is richer. For simplicity, consider steady flow with $\mathbf{v} = u\hat{x} + v\hat{y}$, $\mathbf{w} = w(x,y)\hat{z}$ and $\nabla\times\mathbf{w} = w_y\hat{x} - w_x\hat{y}$. $\nabla\cdot(\rho\mathbf{v}) = 0$ is solved using a stream function: $\rho u = -\psi_y$, $\rho v = \psi_x$. The R-Euler equation becomes

$$wu = -\sigma_y - \lambda^2 w w_y \quad\text{and}\quad -wv = -\sigma_x - \lambda^2 w w_x. \tag{117}$$

Using the relation, $\sigma = h + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v}^2 = h + \frac{(\nabla\psi)^2}{2\rho^2}$, we obtain the equivalent equations:

$$w\psi_y = \rho\left[h + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\nabla\psi)^2\right]_y + \lambda^2\rho w w_y \quad\text{and}\quad w\psi_x = \rho\left[h + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\nabla\psi)^2\right]_x + \lambda^2\rho w w_x. \tag{118}$$

From the constitutive relation $\lambda^2\rho = \lambda_0^2\rho_0$ is a constant. Assume that w is not zero, then,

$$\psi_y = \frac{\rho}{w}\left[h + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\nabla\psi)^2\right]_y + \lambda_0^2\rho_0 w_y \quad\text{and}\quad \psi_x = \frac{\rho}{w}\left[h + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\nabla\psi)^2\right]_x + \lambda_0^2\rho_0 w_x. \tag{119}$$

Differentiating the first equation in x, the second in y and subtracting, we see that, ρ/w has a vanishing Jacobian with $\sigma = h + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\nabla\psi)^2$. Thus the equations say that σ is an (arbitrary) function Σ of ρ/w. Setting $\rho/w = \Theta$, we get

$$\psi_y = \Theta\,\Sigma'(\Theta)\,\Theta_y + \lambda_0^2\rho_0 w_y \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_x = \Theta\,\Sigma'(\Theta)\,\Theta_x + \lambda_0^2\rho_0 w_x. \tag{120}$$

It follows that we may integrate the equations to get $\psi = \lambda_0^2\rho_0\, w + H(w/\rho)$. Here H is an arbitrary function related to Σ through a quadrature $H = \int \Sigma'(\Theta)\Theta d\Theta$. Since $w = (\psi_x/\rho)_x + (\psi_y/\rho)_y$, a specification of H reduces this to a non-linear PDE for the two unknowns ψ and ρ. The under-determinacy of this system is a common feature of the *steady* compressible R-Euler equations.

Alternatively, suppose we do not divide the R-Euler equation by w [which could vanish in a region] but simply note that differentiating the first equation of (118) in x and the second in y, and subtracting, we get an equation involving two Jacobians:

$$\frac{\partial(w,\psi)}{\partial(x,y)} = \frac{\partial(\rho,\sigma)}{\partial(x,y)} \tag{121}$$

We may consider the ansatz $w = J(\psi)$ where J is an arbitrary function so that the LHS vanishes. For the RHS to vanish, σ must be a function of ρ, say $\sigma = Z(\rho)$. Thus the 'compatibility condition' on (118) can be satisfied by introducing two arbitrary functions J, Z. There may be many other, much more complicated solutions of (118) but we do not investigate them here. Given, J and Z and the equation of state $p = p(\rho)$ we can eliminate w and p to reduce (118) to two nonlinear PDEs for ψ and ρ. The simplest case could be for example, $Z(\rho) = Z_0$, a constant in which case (118) becomes $\psi = \lambda_0^2\rho_0 J(\psi)$ upon absorbing a constant into ψ. Once J is specified and ψ determined, ρ is obtained from $\sigma(\rho) = Z_0$ given an equation of state.

Another possible solvable case occurs for subsonic flows at relatively low Mach numbers. In 0^{th} order, we may take $w = 0$. There then exists a velocity potential $\phi(x,y)$ such that ψ is its conjugate function. In zeroth order, ρ is constant and hence ϕ is clearly the standard incompressible Euler velocity potential. The pressure variations are then determined by the constancy of σ. Evidently, they must be of order the square of the Mach number. The full nonlinear equation must then be linearised about this basic irrotational flow to calculate the vorticity in the next order. We do not pursue this here.

5.5 Incompressible 3-d axisymmetric vortex flow

We consider the steady, incompressible R-Euler equations in an axi-symmetric geometry. We have in mind applications to typical exterior flows where a spherical or cylindrical vortex capsule moves along the axis (e.g., Hill's spherical vortex). For simplicity, ρ is assumed constant, so λ is a constant as well. We choose the axis to point along $\hat{z}$ and use cylindrical coordinates (r,ϕ,z). Axi-symmetry here means $\mathbf{v}$ does not have an azimuthal component ($v_\phi = 0$) and that pressure, v_r and v_z are independent of ϕ. This is to be contrasted with the rotating vortex of §5.1, where the velocity was purely azimuthal.

The continuity equation $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{r}\partial_r(rv_r) + \partial_z v_z = 0$ can be solved in terms of a stream function[5]

$$\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\times\left(r^{-1}\psi(r,z)\hat{\phi}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad v_r = \psi_z/r \quad \text{and} \quad v_z = -\psi_r/r. \tag{122}$$

The vorticity is purely azimuthal : $\mathbf{w} = w\hat{\phi}$ where

$$w = (\partial_z v_r - \partial_r v_z) = \frac{1}{r}\psi_{zz} + \partial_r\left(\frac{1}{r}\psi_r\right) = \nabla^2\left(\frac{\psi}{r}\right) - \frac{\psi}{r^3}. \tag{123}$$

The vortex and twirl accelerations have no azimuthal component, nor does the gradient of pressure:

$$\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v} = wv_z\hat{r} - wv_r\hat{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{T} = \frac{w}{r}(rw)_r\hat{r} + ww_z\hat{z}. \tag{124}$$

Thus the steady R-Euler equations $\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v} = -\nabla\sigma - \lambda^2\mathbf{T}$ reduce to two component equations:

$$wv_z = -\sigma_r - \lambda^2\frac{w}{r}(rw)_r \quad \text{and} \quad -wv_r = -\sigma_z - \lambda^2 ww_z. \tag{125}$$

Taking the curl of the R-Euler equation we may eliminate pressure. Expressing $\mathbf{v}$ in terms of its stream function ψ, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial(w/r,\psi)}{\partial(r,z)} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{r}\left(w^2\right)_z. \tag{126}$$

This Jacobian condition can be simplified by working with $\mathbf{v}_*$ rather than $\mathbf{v}$. Recall that the steady R-Euler equation is $\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}_* = -\nabla\sigma$ and the R-vorticity equation [steady freezing-in of $\mathbf{w}$ into $\mathbf{v}_*$] is

$$\nabla\times(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{v}_*) = \nabla\times(wv_z^*\hat{r} - wv_r^*\hat{z}) = \left[(wv_z^*)_z + (wv_r^*)_r\right]\hat{\phi} = 0. \tag{127}$$

[5] Beware! Subscripts on ψ, w denote partial derivatives, while those on v denote components.

Since $\mathbf{v}_*$ is divergence-free, we may express it in terms of a stream function ψ^*

$$v^* = -\nabla \times \left(\frac{\psi^*}{r}\hat{\phi}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad v_r^* = \frac{1}{r}\psi_z^* \quad \text{and} \quad v_z^* = -\frac{1}{r}\psi_r^*. \tag{128}$$

In terms of ψ^*, the R-vorticity equation reduces to a vanishing Jacobian condition:

$$\left(-\frac{w}{r}\psi_r^*\right)_z + \left(\frac{w}{r}\psi_z^*\right)_r = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial(w/r, \psi^*)}{\partial(r,z)} = 0. \tag{129}$$

Thus ψ^* can be an arbitrary function of w/r or $w \equiv 0$. To see what this means for ψ we write $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_* - \lambda^2(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})$ in components and read off the relation $\psi = \psi^* + \lambda^2 rw$ (upto an additive constant). Thus (129) implies a vanishing Jacobian condition on ψ

$$\frac{\partial(w/r, \psi - \lambda^2 rw)}{\partial(r,z)} = 0. \tag{130}$$

One checks that this is equivalent to (126). Thus w/r must be an arbitrary function of $\psi - \lambda^2 rw$ or $w \equiv 0$. In the latter case (irrotational incompressible flow) the regularization plays no role and ψ must satisfy[6]

$$w = \frac{1}{r}\psi_{zz} + \left(\frac{1}{r}\psi_r\right)_r = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla^2\left(\frac{\psi}{r}\right) = \frac{\psi}{r^3}. \tag{131}$$

Alternatively, w/r must be constant on level surfaces of $\psi - \lambda^2 rw$, i.e. $w/r = H(\psi - \lambda^2 rw)$ where H is an arbitrary function. This is an exact generalisation of Lamb's Eq.(13), Art. 165, p. 245 [13] when $\lambda = 0$. The appearance of an arbitrary function is another instance of the steady underdeterminacy of the R-Euler equation. Writing $w = \frac{1}{r}\psi_{zz} + (\frac{1}{r}\psi_r)_r$ we get a (generally non-linear) 2nd order PDE for ψ. Consider the simplest case where $H(g) = A - Bg$ is a linear function ($[B] = 1/L^4$ and $[A] = 1/LT$). Then $\psi(r,z)$ must satisfy a 2nd order inhomogeneous linear PDE

$$\frac{w}{r} = A - B\left[\psi - \lambda^2 rw\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left(1 - \lambda^2 Br^2\right)\left[\frac{1}{r^2}\psi_{zz} + \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{r}\psi_r\right)_r\right] = A - B\psi. \tag{132}$$

The differential operator may be expressed in a more 'invariant' manner in terms of the Laplacian of ψ/r

$$\left[\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{Br^2}{1-\lambda^2 Br^2}\right]\left(\frac{\psi}{r}\right) = \frac{Ar}{1-\lambda^2 Br^2}. \tag{133}$$

When $A = 0$, (133) becomes homogeneous and resembles the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a zero energy particle with wave function $f = \psi/r$ in a cylindrically symmetric non-central potential $V = \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{Br^2}{1-\lambda^2 Br^2}$. If $B < 0$, then the potential is strictly positive and we would not expect any zero energy eigenstate. So when $A = 0$, we take $B > 0$.

5.5.1 Spherical vortex

The above equations may be used to model a spherical vortex of radius a moving along the axis of symmetry in an irrotational exterior flow. An example of such irrotational flow occurs in the exterior of Hill's spherical vortex where

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2}\, V_\infty r^2\left[1 - a^3/R^3\right] \quad \text{for} \quad R^2 \equiv r^2 + z^2 > a^2. \tag{134}$$

This describes uniform flow far from the sphere, i.e. $v_r \to 0$ and $v_z \to -V_\infty$ as $R \to \infty$ [we go to the vortex frame and allow the fluid flow at infinity to be uniform]. Furthermore, $\psi = 0$ is a stream surface and hence the flow is tangential to the surface $R = a$. Within the sphere, if we choose $B = 0$ in (133), the regularization plays no role and we have to solve

$$\psi_{zz} + r\,(\psi_r/r)_r = Ar^2. \tag{135}$$

This has a polynomial solution $\psi = \frac{1}{2}Ar^2[a^2 - r^2 - z^2]$, vanishing on $R = a$. Continuity of velocity across $R = a$ implies $A = -\frac{3}{2a^2}V_\infty$. This constitutes Hill's famous "spherical vortex" solution. However, this makes w_ϕ *discontinuous* on $r = a$.

On the other hand, we could have chosen $A = 0$ and left B arbitrary in the interior. Then in spherical polar coordinates ($r = R\sin\theta, z = R\cos\theta$) (133) becomes a Schrodinger equation for $f = \psi/r$

$$-\frac{1}{R^2}\partial_R\left(R^2\frac{\partial f}{\partial R}\right) - \frac{1}{R^2\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\frac{\partial f}{\partial\theta}\right) + \left[\frac{1}{R^2\sin^2\theta} - \frac{BR^2\sin^2\theta}{1-\lambda^2 BR^2\sin^2\theta}\right]f = 0. \tag{136}$$

[6] In the case of irrotational flow, we could work in terms of a velocity potential which is harmonic, unlike the stream function.

We must solve (136) requiring $\psi = 0$ on $R = a$ and regularity of ψ at $R = 0$. B must then be chosen to match the outer solution. Note that since $w/r = -B(\psi - \lambda^2 rw)$, in this solution w vanishes where ψ does, and is therefore *rendered continuous* at the boundary, unlike in Hill's solution. We do not pursue here an explicit solution of (136) for the regularized version of Hill's spherical vortex[7] but instead consider a cylindrical geometry where an explicit solution illustrating key features is easier.

5.5.2 Cylindrical vortex

As the simplest special case of the above equations (130), we consider a cylindrical vortex (pipe-like flow). We imagine a flow with $v_\phi = 0$ as above, that is irrotational outside an infinite circular cylinder with axis along z and with radius a. Vorticity is purely azimuthal inside the cylinder. We require the stream function, its normal derivative and w to be continuous across the cylindrical surface $r = a$. The simplest irrotational flow in the region $r > a$ is a uniform flow with speed c in the $-\hat{z}$ direction:

$$\psi = \frac{c}{2}\left(r^2 - a^2\right) \quad \text{with} \quad v_r = v_\phi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_z = -c. \tag{137}$$

The additive constant is chosen so that $r = a$ is a stream surface on which ψ vanishes.

For $r \le a$, $\frac{w}{r} = H(\psi - \lambda^2 rw)$ where H is an arbitrary function. If $H = A$ is a non-zero constant, then $w(r = a^-) = aA$ cannot match the value $w = 0$ for $r > a$, so H cannot be a constant. The next simplest possibility is a linear $H(g) = A - Bg$. Choosing $A = 0$ ensures that w is continuous across the cylindrical surface $r = a$:

$$w(r, z) = -\frac{Br}{1 - \lambda^2 Br^2}\psi \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(r = a) = 0. \tag{138}$$

We get a zero energy Schrödinger eigenvalue equation for the 'wave function' $f = \psi/r$ for $r \le a$:

$$(-\nabla^2 + V(r))f = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -f_{zz} - \frac{1}{r}(rf_r)_r + V(r)f(r) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad V(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{Br^2}{1 - \lambda^2 Br^2}. \tag{139}$$

Unlike in the spherical vortex, the potential $V(r)$ is independent of both ϕ and z, so we may separate variables. f could diverge at $r = 0$ in such a way that the stream function (or more importantly the velocity) is finite at $r = 0$. The BCs at $r = a$ are continuity of ψ i.e. $\psi(r = a) = 0$ (which guarantees continuity of w) and continuity of the normal derivative ψ_r. The simplest interior solution is obtained by assuming that ψ depends only on r so that velocity is purely longitudinal $v_z = -\frac{1}{r}\psi_r$. In this case the above Schrodinger-like equation reduces to a 2nd order linear ODE $-\frac{1}{r}(rf_r)_r + V(r)f(r) = 0$ on the interval $0 \le r \le a$ with the BCs $\psi(r = a) = 0$ and $\psi'(r = a) = ca$.



Figure 2: Interior potential $V(r)$ and wave function $f(r)$ for the Schrodinger-like equation for an infinite propagating axisymmetric cylindrical vortex of radius a in a uniform external flow $-c\hat{z}$. The interior stream function $\psi(r) = rf(r)$ agrees with the exterior $\psi = (c/2)(r^2 - a^2)$ and its gradient at $r = a$.

This is a homogeneous second order ODE that may be put in the standard form

$$f'' + p(r)f' + q(r)f = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad p(r) = \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{and} \quad q(r) = -V(r) = -\frac{1}{r^2} + Br^2 + \lambda^2 B^2 r^4 + \ldots. \tag{140}$$

p and q have simple and double poles at $r = 0$ and q has simple poles at $r = \pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 B}}$, so the equation has 3 regular singular points and could be transformed into the Hypergeometric equation. For sufficiently small λ, $r = 0$ is the only singular point in the physical region $0 \le r \le a$, around which the Frobenius method yields solutions. Making the ansatz $f(r) = r^\alpha\left[c_0 + c_1\alpha + c_2\alpha^2 + \ldots\right]$ with $c_0 \ne 0$ and comparing coefficients of $r^{\alpha-2}$, we get the indicial equation $c_0\alpha(\alpha-1)+\alpha c_0 - c_0 = 0$. Its roots $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\alpha_2 = -1$ differ by an integer. Thus we have 2 linearly independent solutions around $r = 0$:

$$f_1(r) \quad = \quad r\left[c_0^{(1)} + c_1^{(1)}r + c_2^{(1)}r^2 + \ldots\right] \quad \text{with} \quad c_0^{(1)} \ne 0 \quad \text{and}$$

[7] Separation of variables does not work in (136) since the 'potential' V depends on both R and θ.



Figure 3: Velocity and vorticity profiles for cylindrical vortex of radius a. Velocity is longitudinal and increases in magnitude with increasing distance from the axis of the vortex and reaches the exterior flow value $-c\hat{z}$ at $r = a$. Voriticity is azimuthal for $r < a$ and matches the irrotational exterior flow at $r = a$. Radial derivative of vorticity is discontinuous across $r = a$ in our simple model.

$$f_2(r) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{r}\left[c_0^{(2)} + c_1^{(2)} r + c_2^{(2)} r^2 + \ldots\right] + c^{(2)} f_1(r)\,\log r \quad \text{with} \quad c_0^{(2)}, c^{(2)} \neq 0. \tag{141}$$

Comparing coefficients of higher powers $r^{\alpha-2+n}$ leads to recursion relations for $c_j^{(1)}$ and $c_k^{(2)}$. f_1 has a simple zero at $r = 0$ while f_2 has a simple pole at $r = 0$ in addition to a logarithmic branch cut ending at $r = 0$. The solution of our boundary value problem with prescribed BC at $r = a$ is a linear combination of f_1 and f_2. A generic linear combination f will diverge at $r = 0$ like $c_0^{(2)}/r$. Thus we should expect the stream function $\psi = rf(r)$ to linearly approach a non-zero limit $c_0^{(2)}$ as $r \to 0$.

We have solved this ODE with the given BCs numerically. The results are illustrated Fig.2,3 for cylinder radius $a = 1$, regularization length $\lambda = 1/10$, constant $B = 10$ and exterior flow speed $c = 1$. It is clear that $\psi(r), v_z(r)$ and $w(r)$ are all continuous at $r = a$. However the radial derivative of vorticity w_r is discontinuous at $r = a$. A more careful treatment of a layer of thickness $\sim \lambda$ around $r = a$ should render $\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}$ continuous. Despite this discontinuity, the twirl acceleration $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{w} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{w}) = \frac{w}{r}(rw)_r \hat{r}$ is continuous across the cylindrical surface since $w(a) = 0$. On the axis of the vortex, ψ is divergent, though v_z has a finite value, while w vanishes there. In addition, we see that the radial derivative of v_z is zero at $r = 0$, as one expects from axial symmetry and smoothness of $v_z(r)$.

6 Discussion and Conclusions

The motivation for regularizing conservative, continuum systems like Eulerian "ideal" fluid mechanics and ideal MHD were explained with some examples in [1]. A brief discussion of two famous examples should suffice here as a recapitulation of the arguments advanced earlier: the well-known example of Dirac-Pauli-Heisenberg Quantum Electrodynamics with its unpleasantly divergent [and practically useless] behaviour beyond the first order was "tamed" by Feynman-Schwinger-Tomonaga renormalizations and shown to work at all orders of the covariant perturbation theory by Dyson. The result of this profound set of ideas was a powerful tool which provided agreement between theory and experiment to almost unheard-of accuracy. The modern recognition that gauge theories which may even be non-Abelian, share this remarkable "renormalizability" has rightly focused researchers into constructing such theories.

A more mundane but still deep example is provided by the KdV equation which is the regularized one dimensional "kinematic wave" equation [KWE]. The KWE is limited in its utility as a research tool due to its well-known failure to have bounded or single-valued solutions for all time. It might be argued that it should be the underlying physics which should provide the regularizing recipes for macro dynamical fluid systems: indeed, such systems can be regarded as suitable limits of fundamentally kinetic/particle systems, and as such must have dissipation terms like viscosity and thermal conduction which provide regularization. In fact, the simplest regularization of KWE is provided by Burgers' equation, which is indeed dissipative and even exactly soluble by the Cole-Hopf transformation into the heat equation.

In spite of the general validity of dissipative [i.e. entropy producing] regularizations of ideal fluid dynamics and MHD arising from underlying kinetic theories, experience has shown that the studies of purely conservative physical models often provide indispensable physical insight. Planetary motion surely involves many dissipative processes which render singular phenomena such as simultaneous three-body collisions perfectly regular. Yet, most of Newtonian mechanics of point particles and rigid bodies profits enormously from using tools like Hamiltonian mechanics and action principles which are the hallmark of conservative dynamics[5].

We note that kinetic approaches such as the Chapman-Enskog method based on, for example the Fokker-Planck equation of plasma theory, typically lead in higher orders in the mean-free-path asymptotic expansion to both "entropy conserving reactive" terms and to dissipative [entropy producing] terms in the stress tensor and the heat-flux vector [see e.g., [14, 15] and the more

recent work [16, 17]]. It is possible that terms like the "twirl-acceleration" [introduced here essentially as a formal conservative regularizing effect] could arise in higher order asymptotics [like the Burnett expansion] of kinetic equations. Somewhat analogous formal regularizers are commonly encountered in effective field theory (e.g. the short-range repulsive Skyrme term with 4 derivatives is believed to stabilize the singularity in the soliton solution of the QCD effective chiral Lagrangian [18].) String theory attempts to provide a relativistically acceptable short-range cut-off to the divergences encountered in the Einstein-Hilbert formulation of classical General Relativity in a manner which resembles in spirit the regularizers we have advocated for compressible fluid flow.

We have therefore adopted the principle that singularities such as unbounded enstrophies in Eulerian fluid dynamics and finite time failure of the models should be removed, if possible, by suitable *local* regularizing terms in the governing equations, in the spirit of Landau's mean field theory. These terms are required to satisfy certain strict physical criteria: i) The symmetries [usually global] obeyed by the original, unregularized system must be obeyed by the regularized system. ii) The added terms must be "minimal" and "small" in some sense and should not alter the macro or meso-scale behaviour of the original system, although short-wavelength or "ultra-violet-like catastrophes" will have been significantly modified. iii) One should be able to derive appropriate conservation laws for the regularized equations and posit adequate boundary and initial data. These should be extended versions of the same laws for the original singular system. iv) The system dynamics must admit time-asymptotic behaviour corresponding to time-reversibility, Lagrange stability[19] [but not necessarily integrability or Lyapunov stability], ergodicity and a valid statistical mechanics. It has been shown by several researchers [cf. [20, 21, 5]] that KdV has all of the above and many other interesting properties, like a Hamiltonian formulation, infinite set of non-trivial conservation laws, soliton scattering behaviour and exact solubility via the inverse-scattering transform. Apart from the incompressible systems considered in [1, 2] we are not aware of any three-dimensional continuum dynamical models with the far from trivial characteristics we have demonstrated for the R-Euler system.

We shall, in loose analogy with Dyson's concept of renormalizable field theories, introduce the idea of "regularizable conservative continuum field theories". Such theories must satisfy the criteria enumerated above. In the case of the Navier-Stokes equations or the visco-resistive MHD equations, and the Fokker-Planck kinetic equation of plasma theory [a regularized form of the Vlasov collisionless kinetics], we have dissipative regularizations. It is not yet fully clear to us if the Navier-Stokes equations admit continuous, unique solutions to initial-boundary value problems for reasonable data. We note that it has been shown by Ladyzhenskaya [22] that NS can be "regularized" by adding a species of "hyper-viscosity". We conjecture that it may be possible that R-NS systems incorporating the twirl acceleration terms will, by definition, lead to a dynamical system with bounded enstrophy and one could demonstrate unique, classical solutions to such systems for the initial-boundary value problem for small, but non-zero values of $\lambda, c_n; n \geq 0$ (see §4.3). Mathematical examples of divergent series being "summed" to give perfectly well-defined and finite answers in Fourier analysis using summability methods of Abel and Césaro exemplify our approach to regularizability and its utility. Unlike the above dissipative regularizations, we focus here on the more difficult question of "conservative regularizability" of continuum fluid models.

In the present paper, we have obtained the R-Euler equations which constitute the regularized Euler equations of compressible flow. These equations have a positive-definite energy density and functional. We have shown that this nonlinear functional is a constant of the motion for typical conditions and thus prevents the unboundedness of enstrophy. These R-Euler equations are the natural generalizations of our earlier results for the incompressible fluid equations.

The system motion takes place in the function space of $\rho(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ which is "foliated" by the closed, nested surfaces formed by the constant energy functional. The R-Euler system is shown to be time reversible and to satisfy the symmetries of the Euler equations and have conservation laws corresponding with and generalizing those of the Euler system. There is even a Kelvin-Helmholtz type "frozen-in" theorem. Furthermore, we have employed the elegant non-canonical Poisson Brackets (PBs) developed by Landau[9][in quantum hydrodynamics], Morrison, Greene ([6]) and others to show that the R-Euler equations can be derived from the energy functional using just these Poisson brackets. This fact is remarkable in that we have demonstrated the existence and properties of a regularization of the Euler equations which "conserves" the Poisson structure, the conservation laws and global symmetries and guarantees the boundedness of enstrophy all at the same time. The Poisson bracket formalism implies that the system evolves on the intersection of the energy surface with any other prevalent constant of motion, as in mechanics, through a Hamiltonian, PB-mediated, infinitesimal one parameter group of time translations.

Dissipative systems like NS are only associated with semi-groups and the system motion does not take place on a fixed manifold reversibly in time and typically involves "strange attractors" with complicated fractal properties. The regularization in the R-Euler dynamics is provided by the "twirl acceleration" $-\lambda^2\mathbf{T} = -\lambda^2\mathbf{w}\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{w})$. The size of this is determined by a parameter λ with dimensions of length. The twirl term is expected to be important in high speed flows with vorticity or flows with large vorticity and its curl. It should dominate the viscous term in high Reynolds number flows on length scales comparable to λ. The parameter λ was a constant "micro-length scale" in incompressible R-hydrodynamics. For compressible flow, we have found that the simplest version satisfies a physically meaningful constitutive relation: $\lambda^2\rho$ is a constant. More generally, we have shown that a much wider class of constitutive relations are possible, some of which lead to bounded higher moments of the square of vorticity. The Hamiltonian structure of the flow on the energy surface leads to micro-canonical

statistical mechanics, and more generally to a canonical distribution [cf. Boltzmann-Gibbs or Fermi-Dirac, [23]]. In this work we do not attempt any proof of the existence of classical continuous solutions to the initial-boundary-value problems.

It is useful to note that a possible approach to the statistical mechanics of the R-Euler system is through the approach pioneered by E Hopf [see the extensive discussion by Stanisic, [24]]. This was originally conceived as a method of investigating the statistical theory of hydrodynamic turbulence governed by the NS equations. However, it would seem that the ideas relating to the Hopf functional can certainly be of value in R-Euler statistical mechanics. The ideas due to Koopman and von Neumann[cf. see the account given in [25]] in ergodic theory are also directly relevant provided a suitable measure can be developed for the constant energy surface on which the system motion takes place. The possibility of mapping the nonlinear evolution of the R-Euler flow on to unitary transformations in a function space of effectively finite number of degrees of freedom could have many practical applications. In numerical simulations, using the R-Euler system would enable one to avoid finite-time singularities in the enstrophy distribution and control the number of effective modes used depending on the initial data.

Our examples show that as in standard Euler theory, steady solutions of the R-Euler equations are not unique, unlike the case with a dissipative regularization. One effect of our conservative regularization is to introduce an enstrophic energy $\lambda^2\rho\mathbf{w}^2$ into the Bernoulli equation. In channel flow, for our choice of velocity profile, this led to an increase in density where the flow speed is high, unlike in the Eulerian Bernoulli principle. Our plane vortex sheet and rotating vortex examples show that the regularization can effectively remove effects arising from discontinuities in velocity derivatives. The vortex sheet suggests that the density near the sheet is always lowered relative to asymptotic, far-field values, just as the density in the core of our rotating tornado is lower than outside. The stability theory of such regularized vortex sheets and rotating vortices would be of considerable interest. We have barely touched on higher dimensional solutions aside from obtaining the equations generalizing Hill's spherical vortex. Incidentally, all continuous potential flows of standard Euler theory in which $\mathbf{w} \equiv 0$ are also solutions of R-Euler. In otherwise irrotational flow, it is only when vortical singularities are encountered, that our theory differs by regularizing the solutions. However, it must be stressed that the twirl force cannot resolve all singularities of inviscid gas dynamics. A simple example is provided by the plane normal shock. Taking $\rho(x), u(x)$ and $p(x)$ as the basic variables in 1-d, clearly at the shock front, these quantities change rapidly. However, no vorticity is associated with the flow and the twirl force is absent. It is well-known that shock fronts involve entropy rises. Thus, to regularize them, it is necessary to add in the usual NS dissipative terms. On the other hand both twirl and viscous stresses are likely to play a role in oblique shocks.

A very fundamental problem would appear to be the following: given a periodic cubic domain and an arbitrary initial distribution of velocity [and associated vorticity] and some density distribution, how does the system evolve under R-Euler flow? In particular, it would be interesting to determine the long-time behaviour of the local energy and enstrophy distributions.

Acknowledgements: We thank M Birkinshaw, S G Rajeev, J Samuel, A Sen and A Young for many interesting discussions. R Nityananda kindly informed us about recent work on regularization theory of kinetic theory. AT gratefully acknowledges the support and hospitality of CMI. This work was supported in part by the Infosys Foundation and a Ramanujan grant of the Department of Science & Technology, Govt. of India.

A Some properties of the Poisson brackets

A.1 Poisson brackets of mass current and swirl velocity

The PB of mass current $\mathbf{M} = \rho\mathbf{v}$ are of particular interest. Suppose $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are a pair of constant vectors, then using (54),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad \{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = -\rho(\mathbf{x})\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),\\
&(b) \quad \{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})\} = [(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b})\cdot\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{x})\,\mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}]\,\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),\\
&(c) \quad \{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{y})\} = \Big[\rho(\mathbf{y})(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}))(\mathbf{b}\cdot\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}) - (\mathbf{a},\mathbf{x}\leftrightarrow\mathbf{b},\mathbf{y}) + \rho\,(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b})\cdot\mathbf{w}\Big]\,\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}),\\
&(d) \quad \{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{y})\} = \rho(\mathbf{x})\,\sum_i \Big(\mathbf{b}\times\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}\left(\rho^{-1}(\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{a})_i\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right)\Big)_i\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{142}
$$

Given the important dynamical role that the swirl velocity $\mathbf{v}_* = \mathbf{v} + \lambda^2\nabla\times\mathbf{w}$ plays, we mention some of its PBs. For e.g. the PB of $\mathbf{v}_*$ with ρ is the same as that of $\mathbf{v}$ with ρ (as λ and $\mathbf{w}$ commute with ρ):

$$
\{\mathbf{v}_*(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = \{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\mathbf{x})^2\nabla\times\mathbf{w}, \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = \{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\}. \tag{143}
$$

Using $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{v}) = \nabla(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}) - \nabla^2\mathbf{v}$, the swirl velocity may be got from $\mathbf{v}$ by the action of the tensor operator $T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$
v_{*i} = \left[\delta_{ik} + \lambda^2\left(\partial_i\partial_k - \delta_{ik}\nabla^2\right)\right]v_k \equiv T_{ik}v_k. \tag{144}
$$

The PB of $\mathbf{v}_*$ with other quantities can be conveniently expressed in terms T_{ik}[8]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad \left\{v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), w_j(\mathbf{y})\right\} = T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\left\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), w_j(\mathbf{y})\right\},\\
&(b) \quad \left\{v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), \frac{w_j(\mathbf{y})}{\rho(\mathbf{y})}\right\} = -\{v_i(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\}\frac{w_j(\mathbf{y})}{\rho^2(\mathbf{y})} + \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{y})}T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\left\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), w_j(\mathbf{y})\right\},\\
&(c) \quad \{v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\} = T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\} + (\nabla\times\mathbf{w})_i(\mathbf{x})\left\{\lambda^2(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\right\},\\
&(d) \quad \{v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), v_{*j}(\mathbf{y})\} = (\nabla\times\mathbf{w})_i(\mathbf{x})\{\lambda^2(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\} + (\nabla\times\mathbf{w})_j(\mathbf{y})\{v_i(\mathbf{x}), \lambda^2(\mathbf{y})\} + T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})T_{jl}(\mathbf{y})\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), v_l(\mathbf{y})\},\\
&(e) \quad \{\rho(\mathbf{x})v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = \rho(\mathbf{x})\{v_i(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\},\\
&(f) \quad \{\rho v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\} = \rho(\mathbf{x})T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\left\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\right\} + v_i(\mathbf{x})\{\rho(\mathbf{x}), v_j(\mathbf{y})\},\\
&(g) \quad \{\rho v_{*i}(\mathbf{x}), w_j(\mathbf{y})\} = \rho(\mathbf{x})T_{ik}(\mathbf{x})\{v_k(\mathbf{x}), w_j(\mathbf{y})\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{145}
$$

A.2 Poisson brackets of solenoidal and irrotational linear functionals

The PB (52) of (especially linear) functionals of $\mathbf{v}$ and ρ have interesting properties. Suppose $F[\mathbf{v}] = \int \mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$ and $G[\mathbf{v}] = \int \mathbf{g}\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$ are two *linear* functionals of $\mathbf{v}$, with $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ a pair of test vector fields vanishing sufficiently fast at infinity. Then

$$
\{F[\mathbf{v}], G[\mathbf{v}]\} = \int (\mathbf{w}/\rho)\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g})\,d\mathbf{r}. \tag{146}
$$

An interesting sub-class of such linear functionals are the 'solenoidal' ones $F_s[\mathbf{v}] = \int \mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$ where $\mathbf{f}$ is solenoidal $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f} = 0$. Writing $\mathbf{f} = \nabla\times\mathbf{A}$[9] and assuming $\mathbf{A}$ vanishes at infinity, F can be written as a linear functional of vorticity:

$$
F_s[\mathbf{v}] = \int (\nabla\times\mathbf{A})\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r} = \int \mathbf{A}\cdot(\nabla\times\mathbf{v})\,d\mathbf{r} + \int \nabla\cdot(\mathbf{A}\times\mathbf{v})\,d\mathbf{r} = \int \mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{w}\,d\mathbf{r}. \tag{147}
$$

Since $\{\mathbf{w}, \rho\} = 0$, it follows that a solenoidal F_s commutes with any functional of density $\{F_s[\mathbf{v}], H[\rho]\} = 0$. Associated to a solenoidal F_s, we may define the functional $F_s^\rho = \int \mathbf{f}\cdot\rho\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$. Then one checks that $\{F_s, F_s^\rho\} = 0$:

$$
\{F_s, F_s^\rho\} = \int\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\rho\mathbf{f}) - \mathbf{f}\cdot\nabla(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v})\right]d\mathbf{r} = \int(\mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f})\,d\mathbf{r} = 0. \tag{148}
$$

Similarly, if ϕ is any function (independent of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$) then it follows that $F_s^\phi = \int \mathbf{f}\cdot\phi\mathbf{v}d\mathbf{r}$ commutes with F_s (but not with F_s^ρ in general) if $\mathbf{f}$ is solenoidal.

Similar to solenoidal linear functionals we may define irrotational linear functions $F_i[\mathbf{v}] = \int \mathbf{f}\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$ where $\mathbf{f} = \nabla\alpha$ is irrotational. Then $F_i[\mathbf{v}] = -\int \alpha(\mathbf{r})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v})\,d\mathbf{r}$. The PB of two irrotational functionals is in general non-zero:

$$
\{F_i, G_i\} = \int (\mathbf{w}/\rho)\cdot[\nabla\alpha\times\nabla\beta]d\mathbf{r} \quad = -\int \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla\times\frac{[\nabla\alpha\times\nabla\beta]}{\rho}d\mathbf{r}. \tag{149}
$$

They commute if the potentials α and β are functionally dependent. The PB of an irrotational functional with a linear functional of density $H[\rho] = \int h\rho\,d\mathbf{r}$ is also non-zero in general

$$
\{F_i[\mathbf{v}], H[\rho]\} = -\int \mathbf{f}\cdot\nabla h\,d\mathbf{r} = -\int \nabla\alpha\cdot\nabla h\,d\mathbf{r}, \tag{150}
$$

but vanishes if $\mathbf{f} = \nabla\alpha$ and ∇h are orthogonal.

A.3 Proof of Jacobi identity for three linear functionals of velocity and density

Suppose F, G and H are three *linear* functionals of velocity and density

$$
F = \int\left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{f}(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})\right]d\mathbf{r},\quad G = \int\left[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{g}(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})\right]d\mathbf{r},\quad H = \int\left[\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{r})\cdot\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) + \tilde{h}(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r})\right]d\mathbf{r}, \tag{151}
$$

where $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ are three smooth test vector fields and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h}$ are three test functions all vanishing sufficiently fast at infinity. We prove that the Jacobi expression $\{\{F, G\}, H\} + \text{cyclic} = 0$. This is a non-trivial special case of the Jacobi identity. As a corollary,

[8] T_{ik} commutes with $\mathbf{w}$ and ρ, but not $\mathbf{v}$. Moreover $\nabla\times\mathbf{w} = \lambda^{-2}(T - I)\mathbf{v}$. The non-dynamical $\lambda^{-2}(T_{ik} - \delta_{ik}) = (\partial_i\partial_k - \delta_{ik}\nabla^2)$ commutes with everything.
[9] In terms of Clebsch potentials α, β for the solenoidal field $\mathbf{f} = \nabla\alpha\times\nabla\beta = \nabla\times(\alpha\nabla\beta)$, we may take $\mathbf{A} = \alpha\nabla\beta$.

the Jacobi identity for three linear functionals of vorticity is also satisfied. For, we can write any linear functional of vorticity $F[\mathbf{w}] = \int \mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{w}\,d\mathbf{r} = \int(\nabla\times\mathbf{A})\cdot\mathbf{v}\,d\mathbf{r}$ as a solenoidal functional of velocity and use the previous result.

We will first obtain an interesting formula (154) for the Jacobi expression. Recall from (52) that the PB of two linear functionals is $\{F,G\} = \int\left[\frac{1}{\rho}\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}) - \mathbf{f}\cdot\nabla\tilde{g} + \mathbf{g}\cdot\nabla\tilde{f}\right]d\mathbf{r}$. To find $\{\{F,G\},H\}$ we need the functional derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta\{F,G\}}{\delta\rho} &= -\frac{1}{\rho^2}\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}) \quad\text{and}\quad \frac{\delta\{F,G\}}{\delta\mathbf{v}} = \nabla\times\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}}{\rho}\right).\\
\text{Thus}\quad J_1 &= \{\{F,G\},H\} = -\int\left[\frac{\delta\{F,G\}}{\delta\rho}\nabla\cdot\frac{\delta H}{\delta\mathbf{v}} - \left(\nabla\cdot\frac{\delta\{F,G\}}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)\frac{\delta H}{\delta\rho} + \frac{\delta\{F,G\}}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\cdot\left(\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho}\times\frac{\delta H}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right)\right]d\mathbf{r}\\
&= \int\left[\frac{\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g})}{\rho^2}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{h} - \nabla\times\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}}{\rho}\right)\cdot\frac{\mathbf{w}\times\mathbf{h}}{\rho}\right]d\mathbf{r}. \qquad (152)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that J_1 is independent of the test functions $\tilde{f},\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{h}$ so that the dependence of F,G and H on ρ does not play any role in the Jacobi condition. We would like to separate the dependence on dynamical variables $\mathbf{w}$ and ρ from the dependence on $\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{h}$. Using the curl of a cross product we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 = \{\{F,G\},H\} &= \int\frac{\nabla\rho}{\rho^3}\cdot\left[\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{f})\,\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{g}\times\mathbf{h})\,\mathbf{f}\right]d\mathbf{r}\\
&\quad + \int\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho^2}\cdot\left[\mathbf{h}\times[\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}] + (\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{h}) - (\mathbf{g}\times\mathbf{h})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f}) - (\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{f})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{g})\right]d\mathbf{r}. \qquad (153)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $[\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}] = (\mathbf{f}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{g} - (\mathbf{g}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{f}$ is the commutator of vector fields. Notice that the 1st term involves the gradient of ρ while the 2nd does not. J_2 and J_3 are obtained by cyclic permutations of $\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}$. Adding $J_1+J_2+J_3 = J$, several terms cancel leaving

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= J^{\partial\rho} + J^{\rho} = -\int\nabla\left(\rho^{-2}\right)\cdot\left[(\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}))\mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{g}\times\mathbf{h}))\mathbf{f} + (\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{f}))\mathbf{g}\right]d\mathbf{r}\\
&+ \int\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho^2}\cdot\left[(\mathbf{f}\times[\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}] + \mathbf{g}\times[\mathbf{h},\mathbf{f}] + \mathbf{h}\times[\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}]) + \{(\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{g})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f}) + (\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{h})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{g}) + (\mathbf{g}\times\mathbf{f})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{h})\}\right]d\mathbf{r}. \qquad (154)
\end{aligned}$$

For the Jacobi identity to be satisfied, this must vanish for arbitrary test vector fields $\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}$ and any fixed ρ (asymptotically constant) and $\mathbf{w}$ (vanishing at infinity). The 1st term involves $\nabla\rho$, so we call it $J^{\partial\rho}$ while the second term is called J^{ρ}. In the integrand of J^{ρ} the dependence on $\mathbf{w},\rho$ is factorized from the dependence on $\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}$. This is not quite the case with $J^{\partial\rho}$.

Proof that $J=0$: We expand the test vector fields as a linear combination of fields along the coordinate directions $\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}$ and write the linear functionals[10] as a sum $F[\mathbf{v}] = \sum_i\int\mathbf{f}_i\cdot\mathbf{v} = F_1[\mathbf{v}] + F_2[\mathbf{v}] + F_3[\mathbf{v}]$. Thus the Jacobi expression becomes

$$J = \{\{F,G\},H\} + \text{cyclic} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{3}\{\{F_i,G_j\},H_k\} + \text{cyclic}. \qquad (155)$$

There are 27 terms of the form $\{\{F_i,G_j\},H_k\}$ plus their cyclic permutations. Consider any one of the 27 terms. There are three possibilities: (1) i,j,k all distinct (mutually orthogonal testfields); (2) $i=j=k$, (collinear test fields) (3) two indices the same and one distinct. We show below that the Jacobi identity is satisfied for three linear functionals of velocity F,G,H falling into any one of the above categories. Consequently $J=0$ for any three linear functionals of velocity and density.

A.3.1 Jacobi identity for 3 orthogonal test fields

Let the three linear functionals F,G,H in the Jacobi expression (154) point along $\hat{x},\hat{y}$ and $\hat{z}$, i.e. $\mathbf{f} = \alpha(\mathbf{r})\hat{x}$, $\mathbf{g} = \beta(\mathbf{r})\hat{y}$, $\mathbf{h} = \gamma(\mathbf{r})\hat{z}$ where α,β,γ are three test functions. Beginning with $(\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}))\mathbf{h} = \alpha\beta\gamma\,(\mathbf{w}\cdot\hat{z})\hat{z}$ and their cyclic permutations we get (subscripts on α,β,γ denote partial derivatives)

$$(\mathbf{w}\cdot(\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g}))\mathbf{h} + \text{cyclic} = (\alpha\beta\gamma)\mathbf{w} \quad\Rightarrow\quad J^{\partial\rho} = -\int(\alpha\beta\gamma)\,\mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla(\rho^{-2})\,d\mathbf{r}. \qquad (156)$$

The quantity $\alpha\beta\gamma = (\mathbf{f}\times\mathbf{g})\cdot\mathbf{h}$ is the volume of the parallelepiped spanned by the test vector fields. On the other hand J^{ρ} is evaluated using $\mathbf{f}\times[\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h}] + (\mathbf{h}\times\mathbf{g})(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{f}) + \text{cyclic} = -\nabla(\alpha\beta\gamma)$. By the divergence theorem and $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w} = 0$ we get

$$J^{\rho} = -\int\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho^2}\cdot\nabla(\alpha\beta\gamma)\,d\mathbf{r} = \int(\alpha\beta\gamma)\nabla\cdot(\mathbf{w}/\rho^2)\,d\mathbf{r} = \int(\alpha\beta\gamma)\mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla(\rho^{-2})\,d\mathbf{r}. \qquad (157)$$

So, $J = J^{\rho} + J^{\partial\rho} = 0$ and the Jacobi identity (154) for three orthogonal test fields is proved.

[10] As remarked above, the dependence on ρ of the *linear* functionals F,G and H does not enter the Jacobi expression.

A.3.2 Jacobi identity for three test fields in the same direction

Let all three vector fields be collinear, say: $\mathbf{f} = \alpha\hat{x}$, $\mathbf{g} = \beta\hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{h} = \gamma\hat{x}$. Since their cross product vanishes, $J^{\partial\rho} = 0$ and the Jacobi expression (154) reduces to

$$J = \int \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho^2} \cdot [\mathbf{f} \times [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] + \mathbf{g} \times [\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}] + \mathbf{h} \times [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}]] \, d\mathbf{r}. \tag{158}$$

All the commutators point along $\hat{x}$, e.g. $[\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] = (\beta\gamma_x - \gamma\beta_x)\hat{x}$. It follows that the cross product of the vector fields and the commutators is zero, so $J = 0$.

A.3.3 Jacobi identity for two collinear test fields and one orthogonal to them

Let 2 of the test fields be collinear and the 3rd point orthogonally. Without loss of generality we take $\mathbf{f} = \alpha\hat{x}$, $\mathbf{g} = \beta\hat{x}$, $\mathbf{h} = \gamma\hat{y}$. Now, $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{g})\mathbf{h} = 0$, $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{g} \times \mathbf{h})\mathbf{f} = \alpha\beta\gamma w_z \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{f})\mathbf{g} = -\alpha\beta\gamma w_z \hat{x}$. Therefore $J^{\partial\rho} = -\int \nabla\left(\rho^{-2}\right) \cdot [(\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{g}))\mathbf{h} + (\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{g} \times \mathbf{h}))\mathbf{f} + (\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{f}))\mathbf{g}] \, d\mathbf{r} = 0$. To compute J^{ρ} (154) we need $\mathbf{f} \times [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] + (\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{g})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}) + \text{cyclic}$. Now,

$$(\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{g})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}) = -\alpha_x\beta\gamma\hat{z}, \quad (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{h})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}) = \alpha\beta_x\gamma\hat{z}, \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{g} \times \mathbf{f})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}) = 0 \tag{159}$$

So $(\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{g})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}) + \text{cyclic} = (\alpha\beta_x\gamma - \alpha_x\beta\gamma)\,\hat{z}$. On the other hand, $\mathbf{f} \times [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] = \alpha\beta\gamma_x\hat{z}$, $\mathbf{g} \times [\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{f}] = -\alpha\beta\gamma_x\hat{z}$ and $\mathbf{h} \times [\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}] = (-\alpha\beta_x\gamma + \alpha_x\beta\gamma)\hat{z}$. Adding these

$$\mathbf{f} \times [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}] + \text{cyclic} = (\alpha_x\beta\gamma - \alpha\beta_x\gamma)\hat{z}. \tag{160}$$

It follows that $J = 0$, so the Jacobi identity is satisfied if two of the test fields are collinear and the third points orthogonally.

A.4 Proof of Jacobi identity for non-linear functionals

Consider exponentials of three linear functionals of ρ and $\mathbf{v}$:

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho, \mathbf{v}] = \exp iF[\rho, \mathbf{v}] \quad \text{where} \quad F[\rho, \mathbf{v}] = \int \left(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \tilde{f}\rho\right) d\mathbf{r}. \tag{161}$$

Here $\mathbf{f}, \tilde{f}$ are test field and test function as in A.3. $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are defined similarly. Then

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\rho} = i\,\tilde{f}\,\mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\mathbf{v}} = i\,\mathbf{f}\,\mathcal{F}, \quad \text{e.t.c.} \tag{162}$$

Using (52) the PB between the exponential functional $\mathcal{F}$ and an arbitrary functional K is

$$\{\mathcal{F}, K\} = i\mathcal{F} \int \left[\frac{\mathbf{w}}{\rho} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}} \times \frac{\delta K}{\delta\mathbf{v}}\right) - \frac{\delta F}{\delta\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla\frac{\delta K}{\delta\rho} + \frac{\delta K}{\delta\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla\frac{\delta F}{\delta\rho}\right] d\mathbf{r} = i\,\mathcal{F}\,\{F, K\}. \tag{163}$$

Taking $K = \mathcal{G}$, we have $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\} = -\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\{F, G\}$. Thus, the first term in the Jacobi expression becomes

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \{\mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}\}\} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}\,[-i\{F, \{G, H\}\} + \{G, H\}\,\{F, G + H\}]\,. \tag{164}$$

The product of PBs cancels out upon adding cyclic permutations, resulting in the Jacobi expression

$$\mathcal{J} \equiv \mathcal{J}_1 + \text{cyclic} = -i\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{H}\,[\{F, \{G, H\}\} + \{G, \{H, F\}\} + \{H, \{F, G\}\}] = 0. \tag{165}$$

So remarkably, the Jacobi expression for three exponential functionals is proportional to the corresponding expression for three linear functionals, which was shown to vanish in §A.3. Thus we have proved the Jacobi identity for non-linear functionals that are exponentials of linear functionals!

The Jacobi identity for finite linear combinations of exponential functionals follows from linearity of the PBs. Now we propose that an arbitrary nonlinear functional $P[\rho, \mathbf{v}]$ can be formed by the following *functional* Fourier transform:

$$P[\rho, \mathbf{v}] = \int D[\tilde{p}, \mathbf{p}]\; \hat{P}[\tilde{p}, \mathbf{p}] \exp\left[i \int [\tilde{p}\rho + \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}] d\mathbf{x}\right] \tag{166}$$

where $\int D[\tilde{p}, \mathbf{p}]$ denotes functional integration over the test fields and test functions. Now, suppose $\hat{P}[\tilde{p}, \mathbf{p}]$, $\hat{Q}[\tilde{q}, \mathbf{q}]$ and $\hat{R}[\tilde{r}, \mathbf{r}]$ are suitable [functionally integrable] functionals of the test functions and test fields. P, Q, R are clearly linear combinations of the exponential functionals considered above. It is then clear, by the linearity of PBs in each argument, that the nonlinear functionals P, Q, R must satisfy Jacobi's identity since any three exponential functionals do, as shown above. A rigorous treatment of the above functional Fourier transform is beyond the scope of this work. We observe that this type of functional calculus is freely used in the Hopf functional theory and in modern quantum field theories based on the Wiener measure and Feynman's path integrals. This approach may also be applied to proving the Jacobi identity for other PBs including the canonical $\{x, p\}$ Poisson brackets of particle mechanics.

References

[1] Thyagaraja A, *Conservative regularization of ideal hydrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics*, Physics of Plasmas **17** , 032503 (2010).

[2] Thyagaraja A, *Adjoint variational principles for regularised conservative systems*, AIP Proceedings of the International Conf. on Complex Processes in Plasmas and Nonlinear Dynamical Systems-Gandhinagar, 2012, Eds. A. Das and A. S. Sharma, AIP Publishing, New York, **1582**, p. 107-115 (2014).

[3] Chorin A, *Numerical study of slightly viscous flow*, J. Fluid Mech. **57** 785-796 (1973).

[4] Holm D D, Marsden J E and Ratiu T S, *Euler-Poincare equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories*, Adv. in Math. **137**, 1-81 (1998).

[5] Arnold V I, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, 2nd Edn., Springer, Appendices 13,14, p. 453-468 (1989).

[6] Morrison P J and Greene J M, *Noncanonical Hamiltonian Density Formulation of Hydrodynamics and Ideal Magnetohydrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 790 (1980), Erratum: 48 569 (1982).

[7] Morrison P J, *Hamiltonian description of the ideal fluid*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **70**, 467 (1998).

[8] Morrison P J, *Poisson brackets for fluids and plasmas*, AIP Conf. Proc. 88, 13 (1982).

[9] Landau L, *The theory of superfluidity of helium II* Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz. 11, 592 (1941) [English translation: J. Phys. USSR 5, 71 (1941)], reprinted in ter Haar D, *Collected papers of L D Landau*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 301-330 (1965).

[10] London F, *Superfluids* Vol. 2, John Wiley, New York, p. 111-121 (1954).

[11] Gardener C S, *Korteweg-de Vries Equation and Generalizations. IV. The Korteweg-de Vries Equation as a Hamiltonian System*, J. Math. Phys. 12, 1548 (1971).

[12] Holm D D and Kupershmidt B A, *Noncanonical Hamiltonian formulation of ideal magnetohydrodynamics*, Physica D **7**, 330 (1983).

[13] Lamb H, *Hydrodynamics*, 6 Ed., Cambridge Univ Press, New York (1932).

[14] Braginskii S I, *Reviews of Plasma Physics*, Vol. 1, Ed. M A. Leontovich, Consultants Bureau, New York, p. 228-229 (1965).

[15] Lifshitz E M and Pitaevski L P, *Physical Kinetics*, Pergamon, Oxford, Section 58, p. 244 (1981).

[16] Karlin I V and Gorban A N, *Hilbert's 6th Problem: exact and approximate hydrodynamic manifolds for kinetic equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** 187-246 (2014).

[17] Struchtrup H and Torrilhon M, *Regularization of Grad's* 13 *Moment Equations: Derivation and Linear Analysis*, Phys. Fluids, **15**, 2668 (2003).

[18] Balachandran A P, Marmo G, Skagerstam B S and Stern A, *Classical topology and quantum states*, World Scientific, Singapore, p. 149 (1991).

[19] Nemytskii V V and Stepanov V V, *Qualitative theory of differential equations*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, p. 340 (1984).

[20] Miura R M, in *Nonlinear Waves*, Eds. Leibovich S and Seebass A R, Cornell Univ. Press, London, Ch. VIII, p. 212 (1974).

[21] Drazin P G and Johnson R S *Solitons*, Camb. U.P., Chs. 1-6 (1989).

[22] Ladyzhenskaya O A, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, Gordon and Breach, New York, p. 159 (1963).

[23] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M, *Statistical Physics*, 2nd Edn. Vol. 5, Addison-Wesley, London, p. 76 *et seq.* (1969)

[24] Stanisic M M, *The mathematical theory of turbulence*, 2nd Ed. Springer-Verlag, London, Ch. III, Sec. 12, p. 232-243 (1987).

[25] Riesz F and Sz-Nagy B, *Functional Analysis*, Frederick Ungar, p. 389-390 (1971).